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## ABOUT IHRSA

The International Health, Racquet \& Sportsclub Association is the fitness industry's only global trade association. IHRSA represents more than 10,000 for profit health and fitness facilities and over 600 supplier companies in 75 countries.

IHRSA is the recognized leader in health club industry research. IHRSA's annual reports provide a comprehensive, country-specific overview of the key fitness industry markets worldwide as well as a detailed view of the overall global market. IHRSA also tracks U.S. industry trends on club performance, consumer behavior, and employee compensation practices. The IHRSA Research Portal (ihrsa.org/research) provides club operators with authoritative data and benchmarks against which to compare their company's operational metrics.

## INTRODUCTION

IHRSA is pleased to present the 33rd edition of the annual Industry Data Survey (IDS) results and the accompanying publication, Profiles of Success. This report provides benchmarks and other operational and financial data for select leading clubs. Membership growth and profit centers are also analyzed in the following publication.

Over the past thirty years, the health club industry has proved to be a dynamic one. After withstanding a global economic downturn, which still prevails in parts of the world, leading club operators have continued to post improvements in performance indicators, while serving the health and activity needs of consumers. In 2014, the health club industry attracted 144 million members and generated nearly $\$ 84$ billion revenues worldwide. In the U.S. and Canada, nearly 60 million members belong to 40,000 health clubs. Roughly 15 million members in 16 Latin American markets belong to one of 55,000 health clubs and studios, while the fitness industry in Europe serves nearly 48 million members at 51,000 fitness centers. According to The IHRSA Asia-Pacific Health Club Report, the Asia-Pacific region is home to 17 million members and 29,000 health clubs.

This year's IDS results show how IHRSA clubs have managed growth. Responding clubs reported improvements of $5 \%$ and $4 \%$ in revenue and membership, respectively. As this report will show, club performance results varied by segment. Clubs part of a chain reported greater revenue growth (+6.1\%) than independent clubs (+3.6\%). On the other hand, independent facilities posted a retention rate of $79 \%$, while clubs part of a chain indicated a retention rate of $67 \%$. Smaller clubs generated less revenue per individual member (\$637.50) in comparison with larger clubs (\$1,298.60).

To protect and promote further growth, IHRSA is highly active in state legislatures and Washington, D.C. on legislation affecting the industry. IHRSA maintains an extremely
successful track record defeating legislation that would negatively impact health club operations in the 50 states. Major issues that IHRSA undertakes include protecting health clubs' ability to offer a range of membership contract options, defeating legislation that would hinder clubs' ability to find and hire qualified personal trainers, and opposing taxation of club dues and services.

IHRSA also seeks opportunities to make health club memberships more affordable through financial incentives, and to foster the next generation of health club members by supporting greater financial investments in physical education. In Congress, IHRSA advocates for the passage of the Personal Health Investment Today (PHIT) Act, which allows the use of flexible spending account dollars to pay for gym memberships, exercise equipment and youth sports league fees.

Momentum continues to build for \#WhyGetActive, IHRSA's social media campaign to elevate the discussion around the many reasons to be active. \#WhyGetActive is a platform for discussions around the importance and benefits of regular physical activity, with a focus on solutions health clubs provide. It is a vehicle for clubs to not only engage their members and build a sense of strong community, but to reach out to their surrounding communities to educate the public and recruit potential members.

IHRSA also plays a large role in encouraging business leaders to recognize physical activity as an important driver of employee health and business performance, by promoting the CEO Pledge, a campaign led by the National Coalition to Promote Physical Activity (NCPPA). At the time of publication, well over 300 CEOs had signed on, agreeing to prioritize regular physical activity and maintain a culture of wellness in their workplaces.

## ABOUT THISREPORT

This report is designed to allow participating clubs to easily compare their operations with their peers to determine strengths, weaknesses, and improvement opportunities.

This guide is based on research conducted by professional research firm Industry Insights, Inc. for the International Health, Racquet \& Sportsclub Association (IHRSA). The data has been aggregated in the following categories so individuals can compare their own data to the results of their peers. These aggregations include:

- All Clubs
- By Club Type (Multipurpose vs. Fitness Only)
- By Club Management (Multi-club or chain vs. Independent)
- By Club Size

As an individual compares his or her information to the aggregated results, it is important to remember that the statistics published in this report should be regarded as guidelines rather than absolute standards. Because organizations will differ depending upon their location, size and other factors, any two organizations may be very successful and yet be very different. Thus, a deviation between anyone's figures and a number appearing on a table in this report is not necessarily good or bad; it is merely an indication that additional scrutiny may be warranted.

We are proud to present the enclosed insights into the health, racquet, and sportsclub industry and hope you will find this to be a useful guide. Thank you to all of those individuals who submitted data for this study.

The Industry Data Survey is housed on the IHRSA Research Portal (ihrsaresearch.com). An email with a link to the form was sent to IHRSA members in early April, 2015. A total of 124 firms representing 5,759 clubs completed the form in time for processing. Upon receipt, all questionnaires were assigned a confidential identification number, and any remaining identification was removed from the data for processing. All data were checked both manually and by a specially designed computer editing procedure. Strict confidence of survey responses was maintained throughout the course of the project.

## RESPONDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

Over $56 \%$ of the respondents were multipurpose clubs and the remaining were fitness-only clubs. Nearly $64 \%$ of the respondents reported being part of a multi-club group or chain while the remaining reported as independent, single club operators.

## RESPONDENT DEMOGRAPHICS



## NUMBEROFRESPONSES (N): <br> MEAN(AVERAGE):

## 75TH PERCENTILE:

The number of responses in a particular grouping.

The simple average of all responses for a particular item (e.g., it is the result of summing the values and dividing by the total number of responses).

The center value of the total distribution of the data that was reported (e.g., it is the value at which 50\% of the responses are above and 50\% are below). This measure is less likely than an average to be distorted by a few outlying responses.

The observation point below which 25\% of the responses lie (e.g., 25\% of the respondents reported a figure less than this amount and 75\% greater).

The observation point below which 75\% of the responses lie (e.g., 75\% of the respondents reported a figure less than this amount and 25\% greater).

The graphic below is often helpful in visualizing the way the data is reported. The Lower Quartile and Upper Quartile figures are reported in the report as the Middle Range. This is helpful in analyzing your performance as it allows you to quickly determine whether your figure falls within the "norm" or middle $50 \%$ of the responses.

| Lower 25\% of Reported <br> Figures | Middle 50\% of Reported Figures <br> (or Middle Range) | Upper 25\% of Reported <br> Figures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower Quartile Figure | Upper Quartile Figure |  |

The statistical information contained in this report is believed to be representative of the individuals responding to the survey. All reasonable efforts were taken by Industry Insights, Inc. to assure data comparability within the limitations of reporting procedures. However, the data used in this report are not necessarily based on audited data and the statistical validity of any given number varies depending upon sample sizes and the amount of consistency among responses for that particular figure. Industry Insights and IHRSA, therefore, make no representations or warranties with respect to the results of this study and shall not be liable to clients or anyone else for any information inaccuracies, or errors or omissions in contents, regardless of the cause of such inaccuracy, error or omission. In no event shall Industry Insights and/or IHRSA be liable for any consequential damages.

## INDUSTRY ATAGLANCE

This section provides an overview of the varying success health clubs achieved in 2014 along with a summary of health club member demographics. Findings are based on The IHRSA Global Report and The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report. Also included is independent industry analysis from IBISWorld. Trends in 2014 and 2015 are discussed and predictions are made regarding the fitness industry heading into 2016.

Overall, the health club industry posted strong performance in 2014. Worldwide, industry revenues moved from $\$ 78$ billion to $\$ 84$ billion ( $+8 \%$ ) from 2013 to 2014; the number of facilities, from 165,000 to 184,000 (+11\%); and the number of members, from 139 million to 144 million (+3.6\%).

In the U.S., the health club industry posted growth in revenue, memberships, and number of club locations. Revenue grew to $\$ 24.4$ billion in 2014, up from $\$ 22.4$ billion in 2013 (+9\%), while club count increased from 32,150 to 34,460 (+7\%). More than 54 million Americans belonged to a health club in 2014, up from 52.9 million in $2013(+2 \%)$.

## IHRSA Global 25

The IHRSA Global 25 consists of the top players in terms of revenue, memberships, and units, based on club operators that submitted data for The 2015 IHRSA Global Report. Club performance improved uniformly among organizations that comprised The IHRSA Global 25. Category leader Life Time Fitness posted $\$ 1.3$ billion in revenue in 2014, up from $\$ 1.2$ billion in 2013, a $7 \%$ increase; Anytime Fitness climbed to $\$ 967$ million (+27\%); Central Sports Co., Ltd., to \$485 million (+9\%), Health \& Fitness Nordic, to \$482 million (+3\%); HealthCity/Basic-Fit, to \$399 million (+9\%); Renaissance, to \$386 million (+5\%); and Planet Fitness, to \$280 million (+33\%).

In 2014, leading players in terms of number of facilities owned include: LA Fitness International, 24 Hour Fitness, HealthCity/ Basic-Fit, Goodlife Fitness and Énergie Cardio, and Fitness First. LA Fitness had roughly 630 clubs, the most of any club chain that participated in the Global Survey. 24 Hour Fitness maintained 450 clubs. HealthCity/Basic-Fit and Goodlife Fitness and Énergie Cardio claimed 350 and 327 club units, respectively, while Fitness First had 325 clubs.

Several Global 25 clubs are leaders in multiple categories. Companies ranking in the top ten in at least two categories (number of clubs, members, and/or revenue) for 2014 include: 24 Hour Fitness, Fitness First, Virgin Active, Town Sports International Holdings, Inc., Anytime Fitness, Life Time Fitness, Goodlife Fitness and Énergie Cardio, HealthCity/Basic-Fit, Fitness World, Central Sports Co., Ltd., Renaissance, Inc., Gold's Gym International, Bio Ritmo/Smart Fit, and Kieser Training AG.
million members worldwide by the end of 2014. Snap Fitness, another affordable 24-hour club franchise, finished 2014 with 1,434 franchise locations (1,306 franchised). Planet Fitness finished third among the top ten franchises with 918 units ( 864 franchised) as Mrs.Sporty ranked fourth with 554 total units (548 franchised). Other top global franchise companies include: Gold's Gym with 541 franchises ( 689 total units), Pure Barre with 265 franchises ( 279 total units), and Body Street with 202 franchises (212 total units).

Leading franchises are also Global 25 club companies, having achieved notable growth over the past few years. Anytime Fitness had 2,739 locations (2,711 franchised) and 2.3

IHRSA Global 25 Leading Club Companies (excerpt)

| Club Company | Niche | Headquarters | Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Life Time Fitness, Inc. | Multipurpose, multi-chain club | U.S. | \$1,291,000,000 |
| Virgin Active | Multipurpose, multi-chain club | U.K. | \$1,051,000,000 |
| Anytime Fitness | Convenience club franchise | U.S. | \$967,000,000 |
| Fitness First | Fitness-only, multi-chain | U.K. | \$773,000,000 |
| Central Sports Co., Ltd. | Multi-purpose, golf, spa, and retail clubs | Japan | \$485,000,000 |
| Health \& Fitness Nordic | Diverse, multi-club group network of low-cost, full-service, and premium clubs | Sweden | \$482,000,000 |
| Town Sports International Holdings, Inc. | Urban/suburban fitness-only clubs | U.S. | \$454,000,000 |
| HealthCity/Basic-Fit | Multi-club group of low-cost and full service clubs | Netherlands | \$399,000,000 |
| Renaissance, Inc. | Multi-chain club | Japan | \$386,000,000 |
| Planet Fitness | High-Volume/Value, Low Price (HVLP) club franchise | U.S. | \$280,000,000 |

## INDUSTRY ATAGLANCE

## HEALTH CLUB MEMBERSHIP

As The 2015 IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report bears out, the health club industry is witnessing a shift in the preferences of health club members. (Report findings are focused on U.S. health club consumers.) The club landscape extends beyond traditional, full-service fitness centers that serve local communities and all age groups as well as affordable fitness centers with basic amenities. Studio concepts, including boxing, yoga, Pilates, group cycling, barre, boot camps, and sports-specific training, also shape the club market.

Roughly half ( $51 \%$ ) of the 54.1 million members in the U.S. indicated belonging to either a multipurpose or fitness-only club, while nearly $43 \%$ indicated using a fitness studio such as personal/small group training, yoga/Pilates/barre, indoor cycling/rowing, boot camp/cross training, boxing/mixed martial arts, and/or sports-specific concept. At least $15 \%$ of all members belong to more than one health club.

## Attendance

In 2014, the average member visited their club 102 times, down slightly from 103 visits in 2013. Nearly half (47\%) of all members are "core" members - those who use the club at least 100 days per year. Since 2010, the number of core members has grown by roughly 5\%, from 22 million in 2010 to 23 million in 2014.

## Demographics: Gender

Females were slightly more represented as club members in 2014 as $50.2 \%$ of club members were female. In total, there were 27.1 million female health club members (down from 27.7 million in 2013) and 26.9 million male health club members (up from 25.2 million in 2013).

## Demographics: Age

The average age of a health club member in 2014 was 39 years. More than one out of four health club members (30\%) were between the ages of 18 and 34 years old ( 16.4 million). The second most represented age group was 35-54 year-olds at $32 \%$ of total membership ( 17 million). The oldest, $55+$ age group represented $23 \%$ of all club members ( 12.3 million), while those under age 18 represented $15 \%$ of all members ( 8.3 million). The number of health club members under age 18 has grown from 6.1 million in 2010 to 8.3 million in 2014.

## Demographics: Household Income

In 2014, 39\% of health club members had an annual household income of at least \$100,000. The second largest segment, $18 \%$ of health club members, had an annual household income of \$25,000-\$49,999. Roughly 17\% earned between \$50,000-\$74,999 in household income in 2014. The $\$ 75,000-\$ 99,999$ segment accounted for $16 \%$ of health club members. Lastly, 10\% of health club members made less than \$25,000 in 2014.

## Demographics: Geography

Health club membership varies by region, and the most represented region is the South, with 34\% of health club members calling it home. Approximately 19 million members reside in the South. States in the South include: Maryland, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Georgia, Alabama, Louisiana, and Florida. The second most represented region among health club members in 2014 was the West with $25 \%$ ( 13.2 million members). The Pacific region represents most of the West including: California, Oregon, and Washington. The North Central region accounted for $21 \%$ of health club members in 2014 , with 11.3 million members. Most members in this area came from the East North Central region, including: Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin. One out of five health club members (11 million) came from the Northeast. Of these, most are from the Middle Atlantic region, including New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

## THE INDUSTRY \& CONSUMERS: RELATED RESEARCH

## IBISWorld Gyms, Health \& Fitness Clubs Report

Research conducted by independent industry research firms resonates with IHRSA findings. The IBISWorld Gyms, Health \& Fitness Clubs Report provides an analysis of health and fitness facilities as well as other related segments, including personal training services, ice and roller rinks, spa, and merchandise. In contrast, IHRSA research focuses on commercial health and fitness clubs.

For the year 2014 and beyond, IBIS published key estimates in evaluating and forecasting growth for the fitness industry. Revenue for the fitness and health businesses they evaluate were an estimated $\$ 29.4$ billion in 2014. The industry as a whole is expected to experience a $2.2 \%$ growth from 20102015. The annual projected growth from 2015 to 2020 is $3.6 \%$, signifying strong favorable growth in upcoming years.

As one would expect, competition in the fitness industry is high and IBIS sees this trend increasing. Competition comes from many facilities, including internal competition (other commercial fitness centers, nonprofits, government organizations, hospitals, businesses, and salons). External competition may also come from other industries such as bowling alleys and marinas, which compete for the leisure time of individuals. According to IBIS, barriers to entry were low in 2014 and were predicted to remain so in the future. However, there is often a high cost involved with opening a gym, and it takes time to build up a brand, gain members, and generate a profit.

Where does all of this success come from in the fitness industry despite difficult economic times? IBIS has identified six key factors that lead to success in the industry: 1) easy access for clients, 2) effective product promotion, 3) economies of scale, 4) provision of appropriate facilities, 5) technical knowledge of the product, and 6) business expertise of operators.

## INDUSTRY ATAGLANCE

## INDUSTRY OUTLOOK

There are a few things we should be on the lookout for beyond 2015. We can look specifically at two segments for where this growth will come from in future years. The younger generation is now being targeted as a strong opportunity for growth. Club operators will be looking for ways to get younger members to join and ways to retain that segment. As health concerns keep rising - and so do health care costs associated with heart disease, diabetes, and other illnesses - fitness participation and healthy eating will be looked at as the primary means to prevent lifestyle-related issues. This should create an increasing demand for the services of health clubs and fitness professionals. With this growth, we can expect a need for additional staffing to maintain an increased number of health and fitness enterprises.

As the numbers showed in 2014, the health club industry reported growth in all three key indicators: revenue, membership, and club count. The fitness industry is witness to a shift that is engaging members from traditional fitness facilities and bringing them into specialized studios. Popular activities include boot camp, high intensity interval training (HIIT), group cycling, barre, Pilates, yoga, boxing \& combat, and sports-specific training. In many cases, members belong to more than one club in order to meet their goals and exercise preferences.

Still, more than 80\% of Americans remain inactive, as reported by the Physical Activity Council. More than two out of five Americans do not participate in any of the 120 activities measured by the Physical Activity Council. Club operators are in a unique position to address physical inactivity as the health club serves as a hub for health, wellness, and exercise.

## NUMBEROFU.S.HEALTHCLUBCONSUMERS(2010-2014)



## IHRSA HEALTH CLUB COSNSUMMER REPORT

## NUMBEROFHEALTH\& RACQUETCLUBS* (2011-2015)



Figures reflect the number of businesses listed in the Yellow Page directories under industry SIC code 7997.
*As of January 1 each year.
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## U.S.HEALTHCLUBMEMBERMARKETSHARE



Note: Multiple selections are allowed, indicating that a number of consumers carry dual memberships.
Source: The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report.
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Source: The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report

GROWTHINTHENUMBER OF "CORE"MEMBERS (2010-2014)


[^2]
## CLUBMEMBERSHIPBY GENDER (2010-2014)



[^3]
## HEALTHCLUBMEMBERSHIPBYGENDER



[^4]
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Source: The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report

## HEALTHCLUBMEMBERSHIPBYAGE (2010-2014)



[^5]
## HEALTHCLUBMEMBERSHIPBY ANNUALHOUSEHOLDINCOME


$\$ 100,000+$
$\$ 75,000-\$ 99,999$
$\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$
$\$ 25,000-\$ 49,999$
<\$25,000

Source: The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report
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Source: The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report
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## CLUBCONSUMER PARTICIPATION (2010-2014)
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## CLUB GROWTH $_{\text {eregeon }}$



[^9]Note: Club count as of July 2015

As was the case with much of the overall economy, the health club industry was optimistic that 2014 would continue to build on slight growth recorded from 2010-2013. The effects of the 2008 recession, in some respects, still seem to be lingering in 2014. From 2010 through 2014, GDP grew at an annual rate of about $2.3 \%$. The economy has been stabilizing and companies have been improving profits; however, most workers have not had meaningful wage increases over that period and unemployment remained over $6 \%$ for most of 2014. Consumer spending and high unemployment continued to be a headwind that health clubs struggle against on a daily basis in a battle to increase revenues. Also, many consumers that have found new jobs are underemployed and do not have the disposable incomes to which they were accustomed. Average consumer spending started out well in 2014 but reduced sharply mid-year. It still reached its highest level in the last five years, but was still below 2008 levels.

While signs of recovery and strengthening are appearing, the industry continues to maintain a conservative approach along all business operations-staff levels remain lean, facility reinvestments have been cut back but remain steady, debt is being paid down, and facilities are trying to manage their cash flow by revised leases and mortgages and seeking new terms with creditors. The good news is that there are plenty of opportunities for facilities during this environment. The clubs profiled in this sample rely on a strongly experienced
management team operating in a conservative manner and the ability to respond quickly to member needs, in turn, creating a well-managed club and a satisfied member. These factors will bode well for these clubs as the economy recovers by helping them to work towards gaining additional market share in the long-term.

The clubs in this study reported modest improvements in some areas and were able to maintain the status quo in other areas. Although we would like to see great improvements from year-to-year, sometimes macroeconomic influences can make that a very difficult achievement. In this type of economic climate, it becomes more important than ever to manage expenses. It is important to remember that the club industry is a semi/fixed cost business and as a result an increase or decrease in sales often translates directly to the bottom line. This operating leverage is significant and a small change in sales can produce a larger change in earnings. When looking at those clubs who had an increase in revenues from 2013 to 2014, they also experienced an increase in bottom line profits as a percentage of total revenues. On the other hand, those clubs that had a decrease in revenues from 2013 to 2014 also experienced a decrease in bottom line profits as a percentage of total revenues.

Responding clubs reported a net membership growth of $3.7 \%$ for 2014 which comes on the heels of roughly $3 \%$ annual increases each year from 2010-2013. Revenues

## CLUBOPERATING BENCHMARKS

per member decreased from $\$ 753.6$ for 2013 to $\$ 702.7$ for 2014. Responding clubs reported overall revenue growth of 5.3\% for 2014. Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA) rose from 15.9\% for 2013 to $16.6 \%$ of total revenue for 2014. Pre-tax earnings as a percent of revenue jumped from $6.8 \%$ in 2013 to $8.1 \%$ for 2014.

Expense management can make the difference between a successful and marginal club. Historically, a club's total operating expenses range from $70 \%$ to $80 \%$ of total revenues. Clubs in this sample are pushing the upper range and often exceeding traditional operating expense ratios (see income statement and balance sheet sections for more information). The largest expense, payroll, can sometimes be the hardest to manage. Clubs in this study reported a slight increase in total payroll as a percentage of revenue from $38.0 \%$ in 2013 to $39.0 \%$ in 2014. Additionally, smaller expenses, such as sales/marketing and general/administrative, can add up quickly if not tightly watched and managed. Clubs with the highest EBITDA in 2014 exemplify this finding. Such companies reported much lower payroll expense as well as total operating expenses than those clubs with the lowest EBITDA.

Clubs reported earning more than three out of every ten dollars from non-dues related services, i.e. personal and small group training, nutritional counseling, spa services, etc. Not
only does non-dues revenue contribute to the bottom line, it historically has been linked to higher member retention. "The more they pay, the longer they stay" has been a longtime industry axiom. It is not uncommon for clubs within the industry to post membership attrition rates of $40 \%-50 \%$ in a given year. The fact that the clubs from this study continue to keep seven out of ten of their members during this tough operating environment is a testament to the ability of these clubs to create a strong symbiotic relationship between member and club. Maintaining membership levels is essential to a strong operation as it allows a club to spend less time and money on attaining new members. Given the poor consumer spending environment, it is impressive that most of the respondents were able to add more memberships than were dropped. This did not come cheap, however, as sales and marketing costs per new membership account reached \$112, its second highest level over the last four years (\$118 for 2013, \$92 for 2012, \$100 for 2011).

## MULTIPURPOSE CLUBS REPORT STRONG FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Multipurpose clubs outperformed fitness-only clubs in 2014. Multipurpose clubs reported higher EBITDA as a percentage of total revenues ( $18.7 \%$ vs. $11.9 \%$ ) and pre-tax earnings as a percentage of total revenues ( $8.6 \%$ vs. $5.5 \%$ ) Fitness-only clubs reported much lower payroll expenses as a percent of total revenues ( $35.8 \%$ vs. $43.3 \%$ of total revenues). In most of the other expense categories, however, multipurpose clubs reported lower expenses as a percent of total revenue. Multipurpose clubs reported a slightly higher member retention ratio (69.0\% vs. 68.4\%) but reported relatively flat net membership growth of $0.02 \%$ vs. $4.2 \%$ for fitness-only clubs. On a per new membership account basis, fitness-only clubs spent significantly less on sales and marketing costs (\$58 vs. \$146). These results support the notion that consumers are still cutting back on what they may consider non-essential spending associated with a multipurpose club membership and opting instead for a less expensive fitness-only membership.

## CHAIN CLUBS OUTPERFORM FOR 2014

In terms of profitability, clubs that were part of a chain or multi-club group outperformed independent clubs. Clubs that were part of a chain or multi-club group earned more earnings before interest, taxes, depreciations, and amortization (EBITDA: $19.6 \%$ vs. $14.7 \%$ of total revenues) and more pre-tax earnings ( $11.4 \%$ vs. $5.3 \%$ of total revenues). Clubs that are part of a chain or multi-club group reported higher net membership growth ( $9.4 \%$ vs. $0.0 \%$ ) while spending less to acquire those new members (sales and marketing per member expenses of $\$ 90$ vs. $\$ 140$ ). Clubs that are part of a chain or multi-club group can rely on economies of scale to help maximize the impact of their sales and marketing dollars across multiple locations. The clubs that are part of a chain or multi-club group reported a lower member retention rate (66.7\% vs. 79.0\%). Typically, independent clubs report the highest retention rate, possibly a result of their focus on member needs.

PLEASE NOTE: The numbers reported in this Club Operating Benchmarks section are medians, indicating that half of respondents fell below the figures reported, and the remaining half had a figure above that which is reported.

## CLUBOPERATING BENCHMARKS <br> BY CLUB TYPE

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All Clubs } \\ & (n=124) \end{aligned}$ |  | Multipurpose Clubs$(n=37)$ |  | Fitness-only Clubs$(n=29)$ |  | Part of Chain or Multi-club Group ( $\mathrm{n}=79$ ) |  | Independent Clubs$(n=45)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| FINANCIAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue (000s) | \$1,775.2 | \$1,686.7 | \$2,759.7 | \$2,667.4 | \$1,828.0 | \$1,678.6 | \$1,626.9 | \$1,533.1 | \$2,224.3 | \$2,147.9 |
| Revenue Growth (Current year vs. prior year) | 5.3\% |  | 3.5\% |  | 8.9\% |  | 6.1\% |  | 3.6\% |  |
| EBITDA as \% of Revenue | 16.6\% | 15.9\% | 18.7\% | 18.8\% | 11.9\% | 8.7\% | 19.6\% | 18.9\% | 14.7\% | 12.4\% |
| Pre-tax Earnings as \% of Revenue | 8.1\% | 6.8\% | 8.6\% | 6.6\% | 5.5\% | 2.8\% | 11.4\% | 10.3\% | 5.3\% | 3.0\% |
| Non-dues Revenue as \% of Revenue | 31.6\% | 30.7\% | 31.6\% | 30.4\% | 31.5\% | 31.0\% | 25.7\% | 23.7\% | 36.7\% | 37.3\% |
| Revenue per Individual Member | \$702.7 | \$753.6 | \$810.8 | \$867.7 | \$731.3 | \$828.8 | \$638.8 | \$733.9 | \$781.3 | \$790.6 |
| MEMBERSHIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate of Member Retention (Accounts) | 68.7\% |  | 69.0\% |  | 68.4\% |  | 66.7\% |  | 79.0\% |  |
| Net Membership Growth (Current year vs. prior year) | 3.7\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 4.2\% |  | 9.4\% |  | 0.0\% |  |
| Sales and Marketing Cost per New Membership Account | \$112.43 |  | \$146.32 |  | \$57.50 |  | \$89.74 |  | \$140.16 |  |
| OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Payroll as \% of Revenue | 39.0\% | 38.0\% | 43.3\% | 43.9\% | 35.8\% | 35.5\% | 36.3\% | 35.0\% | 43.0\% | 44.0\% |
| Indoor Square Foot per Individual Member | 11.6 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 13.7 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 9.6 | 10.9 | 17.5 | 17.1 |
| Revenue per Indoor Square Foot | \$68.41 | \$66.45 | \$67.30 | \$65.22 | \$77.99 | \$75.48 | \$78.23 | \$75.48 | \$59.91 | \$50.99 |
| Total Occupancy Cost per Indoor Square Foot | \$13.2 |  | \$10.4 |  | \$16.6 |  | \$14.9 |  | \$8.0 |  |

Note: Each median was independently calculated for each individual set or subset of responses to a given question or line item. As a result, normal mathematical relationships may not always exist between figures.

All Clubs: 124 firms represent 5,759 facilities.
Multipurpose Clubs: 37 firms represent 790 facilities.
Fitness-Only Clubs: 29 firms represent 3,191 facilities.
Part of Chain or Multi-club Group: 79 firms represent 5,714 facilities.
Independent Clubs: 45 firms represent 45 facilities.

## CLUBOPERATING BENCHMARKS $_{\text {srouus sze }}$

## SMALL CLUBS STRONG IN 2014

The smallest segment (clubs with less than 20,000 square feet) reported very strong net member growth and the highest revenue growth ( $11.6 \%$ and $8.2 \%$, respectively). The revenue growth flowed to the bottom line as profits as a percent of revenues were the highest for all club size segments. Clubs in this segment reported a median EBITDA of 16.4\% of total revenues in 2014 and pre-tax earnings of 9.2\%. Oftentimes firms in this segment are relatively young and are in an early growth phase of their business cycle and may have significant fluctuations in revenues and profits from year-to-year. This is evident in the fact they reported only $1.4 \%$ pre-tax earnings for 2013.

## MID-SIZED CLUBS PERFORMANCE SLOWS BUT REMAIN SOLID IN 2014

Clubs with 20,000-34,999 square feet reported solid EBITDA as a percentage of total revenues at $18.6 \%$ for 2014. Although this is down from $20.5 \%$ for 2013, this is still a very respectable figure with bottom line profit reported at $8.4 \%$. Total payroll expense edged up to $38.3 \%$ for 2014 vs. $37.4 \%$ for 2013. Membership retention for these clubs was 67.5\%. Total revenues increased 3.5\% from 2013 to 2014. Clubs in this segment reported net membership growth of $1.4 \%$ while spending $\$ 112$ for sales and marketing costs per new member account.

Total revenues increased $5.7 \%$ from 2013 to 2014 for clubs with 35,000 to 59,999 square feet. They also reported EBITDA of $14.0 \%$ and pre-tax profits of $5.6 \%$ for 2014. They reported a retention rate of $68.3 \%$ but saw net membership decline slightly at $-0.2 \%$.

# CLUBOPERATING BENCHMARKS 

## LARGER CLUB OPERATIONS REPORT STRONG

 FINANCIALS DESPITE FLAT MEMBERSHIP GROWTHClubs with 60,000 square feet or more typically offer their members a plethora of fee-for-services and have shown that even during tight economic times they are in tune with what their members want and for what they will pay extra. This segment reported that almost four out of ten dollars came from non-dues revenue, the most of any segment. These clubs reported revenue per member of nearly $\$ 1,300$ in 2014. These clubs reported flat net membership growth while being able to retain the highest percent of their members, reporting nearly $80 \%$ for their member retention. The increase in revenue per member led to growth in total revenue of 3.5\% from 2013 to 2014. This group reported decreased payroll expense from $45.6 \%$ in 2013 to $43.4 \%$ of total revenues in 2014. Even with higher payroll expenses as a percentage of revenue, clubs in this size segment reported a relatively strong EBITDA figure of $18.7 \%$. Pre-tax earnings as a percent of total revenue for this segment was the second highest for the various club size segments at $8.9 \%$.

## GLGBDDEEATVMGBENSEMMADMS

|  | Club Size <br> Less than 20,000 sq ft ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) |  | Clubs between 20,000 to 34,999 sq ft ( $\mathrm{n}=17$ ) |  | Clubs between 35,000 to 59,999 sq ft ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{B}^{*}$ ) |  | Club Size 60,000 sq ft or More ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| FINANCIAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue (000s) | \$755.1 | \$698.2 | \$1,706.5 | \$1,648.1 | \$2,695.5 | \$2,549.2 | \$7,925.3 | \$7,654.4 |
| Revenue Growth (Current year vs. prior year) | 8.2\% |  | 3.5\% |  | 5.7\% |  | 3.5\% |  |
| EBITDA as \% of Revenue | 16.4\% | 10.9\% | 18.6\% | 20.5\% | 14.0\% | 15.3\% | 18.7\% | 17.1\% |
| Pre-tax Earnings as \% of Revenue | 9.2\% | 1.4\% | 8.4\% | 10.3\% | 5.6\% | 5.0\% | 8.9\% | 6.2\% |
| Non-dues Revenue as \% of Revenue | 28.9\% | 28.0\% | 18.1\% | 18.3\% | 26.5\% | 29.4\% | 38.5\% | 39.8\% |
| Revenue per Individual Member | \$637.5 | \$619.6 | \$730.7 | \$766.7 | \$689.7 | \$738.9 | \$1,298.6 | \$1,236.7 |
| MEMBERSHIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate of Member Retention (Accounts) | 66.7\% |  | 67.5\% |  | 68.3\% |  | 79.7\% |  |
| Net Membership Growth (Current year vs. prior year) | 11.6\% |  | 1.4\% |  | -0.2\% |  | 0.0\% |  |
| Sales and Marketing Cost per New Membership Account | \$37.28 |  | \$112.43 |  | \$105.22 |  | \$241.38 |  |
| OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Payroll as \% of Revenue | 34.5\% | 32.8\% | 38.3\% | 37.4\% | 43.6\% | 45.1\% | 43.4\% | 45.6\% |
| Indoor Square Feet per Individual Member | 7.3 | 7.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 14.2 | 13.6 | 19.1 | 17.9 |
| Revenue per Indoor Square Foot | \$78.23 | \$78.20 | \$58.65 | \$59.27 | \$54.56 | \$51.04 | \$74.31 | \$78.73 |
| Total Occupancy Cost per Indoor Square Foot | \$23.9 |  | \$20.9 |  | \$12.6 |  | \$9.3 |  |

[^10]
## LOWER COST CLUBS POST THE GREATEST MEMBERSHIP GROWTH

Clubs with monthly dues prices of $\$ 40$ or less reported the greatest net membership growth and total revenue growth in comparison with higher cost clubs. Lower cost clubs posted net membership growth of $9.6 \%$ in comparison with -0.4\% for clubs with monthly dues between $\$ 41-\$ 69$ and $1.6 \%$ for clubs with monthly dues of $\$ 70$ or more. The lower cost clubs also indicated a lower percentage of total revenue attributable to payroll at $34.7 \%$. The low-cost segment recorded a member retention rate of $68.4 \%$. This segment's strong performance in membership growth and revenue growth flowed to the bottom line with this group posting EBITDA of $16.4 \%$ and pre-tax earnings of $3.2 \%$. Firms in this segment often emphasize member acquisition efforts over retention initiatives, signifying opportunities to improve on new member integration and current member engagement strategies.

## MID-PRICED CLUBS STRUGGLE

Clubs with dues ranging from \$41-\$69 per month reported a decrease in net membership growth (-0.4\%) and managed only a small increase in revenue of $0.9 \%$. This trickled down to a large decrease in EBITDA as a percentage of total revenues of $11.3 \%$ for 2014 vs. $18.4 \%$ for 2013 . Roughly one out of four revenue dollars are derived from non-dues sources for clubs in this category. Mid-priced club operators in this sample are struggling with balancing costs with revenue expectations. This segment generates roughly $\$ 708$ in annual revenue per individual member and spends only $\$ 119$ in marketing and sales cost per new membership account.

## PREMIUM CLUBS POST THE HIGHEST MEMBER RETENTION RATE AND STRONGEST FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Clubs with monthly dues of $\$ 70$ or more reported the highest member retention rate in comparison with low-cost and mid-priced facilities at $72.1 \%$. These premium clubs also invest the most in new member acquisitions, as sales and marketing costs are roughly $\$ 133$ per new membership account. Understandably, higher cost clubs generate the greatest revenue per individual member at more than $\$ 1,200$ per year. High cost clubs often offer their members several non-dues programs and/or are located in geographical areas that are home to affluent consumers. This segment reported that nearly four out of ten dollars came from non-dues revenue (36.7\%). Clubs in this segment reported EBITDA of $17.7 \%$ and pre-tax earnings of $8.8 \%$ of total revenue, both of which were the highest for the various monthly dues price segments.

## CLUBOPERATINGBENCHMARKS <br> BY MONTHLY DUES

|  | Monthly Dues Prices \$40 or Less ( $\mathrm{n}=11$ ) |  | Monthly Dues Prices$\begin{gathered} \$ 41-\$ 69 \\ (n=15) \end{gathered}$ |  | Monthly Dues Prices \$70 <br> or More <br> ( $\mathrm{n}=25$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| FINANCIAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue (000s) | \$1,082.2 | \$985.8 | \$1,614.6 | \$1,600.0 | \$3,977.8 | \$3,785.9 |
| Revenue Growth (Current year vs. prior year) | 9.8\% |  | 0.9\% |  | 5.1\% |  |
| EBITDA as \% of Revenue | 16.4\% | 15.6\% | 11.3\% | 18.4\% | 17.7\% | 14.5\% |
| Pre-tax Earnings as \% of Revenue | 3.2\% | 6.4\% | 3.8\% | 4.3\% | 8.8\% | 5.9\% |
| Non-dues Revenue as \% of Revenue | 19.8\% | 19.0\% | 25.7\% | 23.7\% | 36.7\% | 36.1\% |
| Revenue per Individual Member | \$334.1 | \$319.9 | \$708.1 | \$726.8 | \$1,211.3 | \$1,104.7 |
| MEMBERSHIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate of Member Retention (Accounts) | 68.4\% |  | 68.3\% |  | 72.1\% |  |
| Net Membership Growth (Current year vs. prior year) | 9.6\% |  | -0.4\% |  | 1.6\% |  |
| Sales and Marketing Cost per New Membership Account | ISD |  | \$119.05 |  | \$132.95 |  |
| OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Payroll as \% of Revenue | 34.7\% | 36.2\% | 42.5\% | 43.7\% | 43.1\% | 43.9\% |
| Indoor Square Feet per Individual Member | 6.4 | 6.0 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 17.9 | 17.6 |
| Revenue per Indoor Square Foot | \$45.52 | \$50.28 | \$63.16 | \$60.65 | \$76.92 | \$78.73 |
| Total Occupancy Cost per Indoor Square Foot | \$15.9 |  | \$10.4 |  | \$14.2 |  |

ISD = Insufficient data to report.

Note: Each median was independently calculated for each individual set or subset of responses to a given question or line item. As a result, normal mathematical relationships may not always exist between figures.

[^11]Monthly Dues Prices $\$ 70$ Per Month or more: 25 firms represent 560 facilities.

## MEMBERSHIPGROWTH\& TRAFFIC

## NET MEMBERSHIPS INCREASE

Net membership growth was mixed across the numerous reporting segments. Overall, clubs in this sample reported membership gains of $3.7 \%$ for 2014 vs. 2013 . Fitnessonly clubs experienced higher membership growth than the multipurpose clubs ( $4.2 \%$ vs. $0.0 \%$ ). The smaller clubs with less than 20,000 square feet reported the highest percentage increase in the total number of members added in 2014 at 11.6\%. While a constant stream of new members is the lifeblood of a health club, the number of new accounts is also an important metric. We defined "membership accounts" in this year's survey to include family and individual memberships. The typical chain club added 679 accounts over 2014. The typical independent club, on the other hand, added 807 accounts in 2014. When comparing clubs by type, multipurpose clubs added more accounts than smaller, fitness-only clubs. The typical multipurpose club added 940 accounts last year, while the typical fitness-only clubs added 477 accounts.

In 2014, clubs were near even in terms of account replacement, i.e. acquiring new memberships and dropping less than what was sold. The member account replacement ratio for 2014 was 1.00 , meaning for every 100 accounts sold, 100 accounts closed. This is considerably lower than this ratio has been in recent years. This ratio has ranged from 1.10 to 1.20 in recent years (for every 110 to 120 accounts added, 100 were lost). Pre-2009, this ratio was over 1.29.. It is clear that clubs continue to operate in a very competitive environment in terms of consumer spending and thus member acquisition and retention. With a decrease in consumer dollars and more competition for those dollars, some members continue to decide to end their health club membership. The positive outlook is that a majority of these former members will return to the industry when their finances recover. There is concern that the longer a consumer goes without a health club membership, the more likely the consumer may decide that this is an expense they do not need to incur even when their finances recover.

## 2014 NET MEMBERSHIPGROWTH (MEDIANS)



## MEMBERSHIPGROWTH\& TRAFFIC

|  | Membership Accounts |  | Membership Individuals |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL CLUBS (N=124) | Mean | Middle Range | Mean | Middle Range |
| Memberships as of Jan. 1, 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| Added Memberships | 7,251 | $924-3,142$ | 3,516 | $1,353-4,500$ |
| Dropped Memberships | 737 | $253-999$ | 1,126 | $411-1,405$ |
| Memberships as of Dec. 31,2014 | 2,252 | $204-915$ | 1,032 | $261-1,330$ |


|  | Membership Accounts |  | Membership Individuals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Middle Range | Mean | Middle Range |
| MULTIPURPOSE CLUBS ( $\mathrm{N}=37$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Memberships as of Jan. 1, 2014 | 2,888 | 1,274-3,824 | 4,507 | 2,070-5,883 |
| Added Memberships | 940 | 360-1,254 | 1,434 | 527-1,544 |
| Dropped Memberships | 988 | 378-1,124 | 1,426 | 369-1,837 |
| Memberships as of Dec. 31, 2014 | 2,839 | 1,296-3,801 | 4,515 | 2,053-6,036 |


|  | Membership Accounts |  | Membership Individuals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Middle Range | Mean | Middle Range |
| FITNESS-ONLY CLUBS ( $\mathrm{N}=29$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Memberships as of Jan. 1, 2014 | 1,426 | 500-1,900 | 2,432 | 843-2,882 |
| Added Memberships | 477 | 175-667 | 824 | 201-1,006 |
| Dropped Memberships | 411 | 75-600 | 713 | 171-945 |
| Memberships as of Dec. 31, 2014 | 1,492 | 625-1,967 | 2,543 | 935-2,903 |

## MEMBERSHIPGROWTH\& TRAFFIC

|  | Membership Accounts |  | Membership Individuals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Middle Range | Mean | Middle Range |
| PART OF CHAIN OR MULTI-CLUB GROUP ( $\mathrm{N}=79$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Memberships as of Jan. 1, 2014 | 1,811 | 927-2,340 | 2,983 | 1,376-4,304 |
| Added Memberships | 679 | 256-925 | 1,057 | 497-1,357 |
| Dropped Memberships | 715 | 189-910 | 953 | 316-1,180 |
| Memberships as of Dec. 31, 2014 | 1,776 | 967-2,356 | 3,087 | 1,643-4,032 |


|  | Membership Accounts |  | Membership Individuals |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Middle Range | Mean | Middle Range |
| INDEPENDENT CLUBS ( $\mathbf{N = 4 5 )}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Memberships as of Jan. 1, 2014 | 2,764 | $992-4,020$ | 4,295 | $1,086-6,664$ |
| Added Memberships | 807 | $253-1,150$ | 1,227 | $281-1,436$ |
| Dropped Memberships | 763 | $232-1,052$ | 1,149 | $216-1,481$ |
| Memberships as of Dec. 31, 2014 | 2,808 | $952-4,095$ | 4,374 | $1,288-6,758$ |


|  | Membership Accounts |  | Membership Individuals |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLUBS WITH LESS THAN 20,000 SQ. FT. (N=19) |  | Mean | Middle Range | Mean |
| Memberships as of Jan. 1, 2014 | 899 |  |  | Middle Range |
| Added Memberships | 378 | $213-533$ | 1,093 | 439 |
| Dropped Memberships | 321 | $128-468$ | 310 | $160-615$ |
| Memberships as of Dec. 31,2014 | 956 | $583-1,245$ | 1,360 | $15-455$ |

## MEMBERSHIPGROWTH\& TRAFFIC

|  | Membership Accounts |  | Membership Individuals |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLUBS BETWEEN 20,000 TO 34,999 SQ. FT. <br> $(N=17)$ | Mean | Middle Range | Mean | Middle Range |
| Memberships as of Jan. 1, 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| Added Memberships | 1,798 | $1,084-2,156$ | 2,793 | $2,150-3,331$ |
| Dropped Memberships | 611 | $256-870$ | 1,014 | $605-1,357$ |
| Memberships as of Dec. 31, 2014 | 773 | $229-842$ | 1,062 | $412-1,372$ |


|  | Membership Accounts |  | Membership Individuals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Middle Range | Mean | Middle Range |
| CLUBS BETWEEN 35,000 TO 59,999 SQ. FT. (N=8) |  |  |  |  |
| Memberships as of Jan. 1, 2014 | 1,789 | ISD | 3,596 | 1,942-4,258 |
| Added Memberships | 596 | ISD | 1,385 | 617-1,636 |
| Dropped Memberships | 551 | ISD | 1,215 | 677-1,412 |
| Memberships as of Dec. 31, 2014 | 1,834 | ISD | 3,767 | 2,030-4,163 |
|  | Membership Accounts |  | Membership Individuals |  |
|  | Mean | Middle Range | Mean | Middle Range |
| CLUBS WITH 60,000 SQ. FT. OR MORE ( $\mathrm{N}=19$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Memberships as of Jan. 1, 2014 | 3,890 | 2,836-4,569 | 6,674 | 3,975-8,634 |
| Added Memberships | 1,241 | 623-1,664 | 1,961 | 877-2,174 |
| Dropped Memberships | 1,175 | 531-1,535 | 1,955 | 875-2,163 |
| Memberships as of Dec. 31, 2014 | 3,956 | 2,928-4,698 | 6,681 | 4,006-8,222 |

ISD = Insufficient data to report.

## MEMBERSHIPGROWTH\& TRAFFIC

## MEMBER ACCOUNTS ADDED/DROPPED BY MONTH

As one might expect, as consumers set resolutions in the new year, member accounts added vs. dropped are significantly higher in the months of December and January through March. April, July, and August were the only months in which the number of dropped accounts outpaced the number of accounts added.

## 2014 ACCOUNTS ADDED/DROPPEDASPERCENT OF TOTALACCOUNTS ADDED ALL RESPONDENTS



## MEMBERSHIPGROWTH\& TRAFFIC

## MEMBER RETENTION AND MEMBER VISITS UP IN 2014

The clubs in the sample reported keeping nearly 7 out of 10 members in 2014. This varied by club types and club size. Multipurpose clubs reported a slightly better retention rate compared to fitness-only clubs (69.0\% retention vs. 68.4\%). Independent clubs reported a significantly higher member retention rate compared to clubs that are part of a multi-club group or chain ( $79.0 \%$ vs. $66.7 \%$ ). When analyzed based on the size of the clubs, those with 60,000 square feet or more reported a much higher retention rate at $79.7 \%$. The smaller sized clubs reported retention rates ranging from 66.7\% to 68.3\%.

In 2014, all clubs reported a median of 56 visits per member. (Recall that member visits reported by clubs varies from visits reported by consumers.) This measurement had little variance across club types and club size. Multipurpose clubs and fitness-only clubs both reported 56 visits per member. Clubs that are part of a multi-club group or chain reported 59 visits per member vs. 51 for independent clubs. Clubs with 60,000 square feet or more reported 58 visits per member, while clubs with clubs with 35,000-59,999 square feet reported only 42 visits per member. It is worth noting that the clubs with 60,000 square feet or more had higher retention rates and higher number of visits per member, possibly indicating a more engaged membership base.

## 2014 MEMBERSHIPRETENTION(MEDIANS)



## 2014CLUBVISITS PERINDIVIDUALMEMBER(MEDIANS)



Clubs in this study reported charging a median of $\$ 49$ for enrollment fees and $\$ 69$ for monthly dues. A health club member paid a median $\$ 828$ in annual dues. The annual dues figure represents an increase over the $\$ 780$ reported for 2013 and is well above the $\$ 720$ reported for 2012. In some instances in 2013, we saw clubs re-instituting full or nondiscounted initiation fees after discounting of initiation fees, membership dues, and/or adding lower costing membership plans in 2011-2012 in order to navigate the tough economic environment. It appears that in 2014, the discounting and/ or waiving of enrollment or initiation fees became more prevalent. The median enrollment fee of $\$ 49$ is significantly lower than historically reported figures. Also, 23\% of the respondents indicated having $\$ 0$ enrollment or initiation fees. Club pricing will continue to be very important going forward as inflation concerns for the overall economy continue to be a concern.

Multipurpose clubs reported charging more than fitness-

## 2014CLUBPRICES(MEDIANS)



| Club Prices (Regular Individual) by Club Type | Enrollment Fee/Initiation Fees |  | Monthly Membership Dues |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range |
| All Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=124$ ) | \$49.00 | \$1.00-114.75 | \$69.00 | \$48.25-106.61 |
| Multipurpose Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=37$ ) | \$65.73 | \$7.50-156.25 | \$79.81 | \$56.50-125.12 |
| Fitness-only Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ) | \$46.61 | \$1.00-74.40 | \$56.69 | \$32.02-80.00 |
| Part of Chain or Multi-club Group ( $\mathrm{n}=79$ ) | \$50.00 | \$1.00-99.00 | \$74.00 | \$56.50-117.28 |
| Independent Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=45$ ) | \$49.00 | \$11.13-130.00 | \$57.15 | \$40.75-97.00 |
| Clubs with Less than 20,000 sq. ft. ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | \$49.00 | \$21.26-69.00 | \$49.00 | \$40.00-79.00 |
| Clubs between 20,000 to 34,999 sq. ft. ( $\mathrm{n}=17$ ) | \$41.13 | \$0.75-91.50 | \$65.00 | \$46.25-81.67 |
| Clubs between 35,000 to 59,999 sq. ft. ( $n=8$ ) | \$75.00 | \$48.23-104.50 | \$57.00 | \$40.51-103.39 |
| Clubs with 60,000 sq. ft. or More ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | \$94.79 | \$6.25-337.50 | \$115.11 | \$79.50-158.75 |

## CLUBMEMBERSHIPAGREEMENTS

As mentioned previously, those who participated in the IDS offer consumers a range of membership plans to choose from. Clubs indicated that around one-third (34\%) of member accounts are short-term (less than 12 months), while nearly one-third are 12 months that convert to monthly upon the thirteenth month. Nearly half of the membership agreements are renewed individually by members.

| Percentage of Member Accounts That are: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short term - less than 12 Months | $34.4 \%$ |
| 12 Months, Converts to Monthly Upon 13th Month | $34.6 \%$ |
| 12 Months, Renew for 12 Months | $6.6 \%$ |
| 12 Months, Paid Up Front | $10.9 \%$ |
| Corporate (12 Months) | $4.4 \%$ |
| Longer Than 12 Months | $9.1 \%$ |


| Membership Agreements |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Renewed Automatically for Another Contract Period | $26.7 \%$ |
| Renewed Individually by Members | $46.7 \%$ |
| Combination of Both | $26.7 \%$ |
|  |  |

## MOST COMMONLY OFFERED FACILITIES

| GROUP EXERCISE/AEROBICS STUDIO | 82.49\% | POOL-OUTDOOR | 25.76\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FREE WEIGHTS | 82.27\% | MEETING/CONFERENCE ROOMS | 21.89\% |
| SAUNA | 69.42\% | ON-SITE AED | 21.55\% |
| PRO SHOP | 61.84\% | CHILDREN ONLY SECTION | 20.99\% |
| TREADMILLS | 59.09\% | SQUASH | 19.87\% |
| STATIONARY BIKES (UPRIGHT) | 58.19\% | GYMNASIUM | 17.40\% |
| STATIONARY BIKES (RECUMBENT) | 58.02\% | VOLLEYBALL - HARD COURT | 16.44\% |
| ELLIPTICAL MOTION TRAINERS | 57.80\% | INDOOR TRACK | 14.76\% |
| CLIMBERS/STEPPERS | 56.62\% | RESTAURANT | 14.65\% |
| WHIRLPOOL | 55.78\% | WOMEN ONLY SECTION | 14.53\% |
| PLATE-LOADED EQUIPMENT | 55.61\% | TENNIS-OUTDOOR | 14.48\% |
| SELECTORIZED EQUIPMENT | 51.96\% | CROSS COUNTRY SKI MACHINES | 13.97\% |
| CARDIO-VASCULAR | 51.18\% | BAR/LOUNGE | 13.52\% |
| STEAM ROOM | 49.55\% | VOLLEYBALL-SAND | 12.23\% |
| ROWERS | 49.33\% | TENNIS-INDOOR | 11.67\% |
| POOL-INDOOR | 47.25\% | DAY SPA | 9.71\% |
| NURSERY | 47.25\% | CLIMBING WALL | 6.23\% |
| BASKETBALL | 45.17\% | OUTDOOR TRACK | 4.66\% |
| SNACK/JUICE BAR | 44.61\% | HAIR \& BEAUTY SALON | 4.38\% |
| STRETCHING EQUIPMENT | 43.15\% | FOUNTAIN SERVICE | 4.15\% |
| RACQUETBALL | 41.02\% | INDOOR GOLF | 3.70\% |
| GROUP CYCLING BIKES | 35.75\% | CHILDREN EQUIPMENT | 3.59\% |
| TANNING | 32.27\% | MEN ONLY SECTION | 2.69\% |
| FITNESS CENTER | 31.99\% | GOLF COURSE | 2.19\% |
| VARIABLE RESISTANCE | 30.75\% | TENNIS-BUBBLED | 1.57\% |
| VENDING MACHINES | 29.29\% |  |  |

Note: Data based on IHRSA member census of 1,782 clubs in Canada and United States.

## MOST COMMONLY OFFERED PROGRAMS

| PERSONAL TRAINING | 93.27\% | INTERNET ACCESS FOR MEMBERS | 20.59\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP/BENCH AEROBICS | 85.63\% | BASKETBALL LEAGUE | 19.98\% |
| FITNESS EVALUATION | 85.19\% | RACQUETBALL LEAGUE | 19.87\% |
| STRENGTH TRAINING | 81.87\% | TAICHI | 19.64\% |
| YOGA | 78.51\% | WELLNESS-STRESS REDUCTION | 19.08\% |
| CHILD CARE | 69.30\% | WALLEYBALL | 16.89\% |
| GROUP CYCLING CLASSES | 67.23\% | PRENATAL | 16.84\% |
| LO IMPACT AEROBICS | 60.44\% | LOWER BACK PROGRAMS | 15.21\% |
| CARDIO KICKBOXING OR SIMILAR | 60.44\% | SPA TREATMENTS | 14.42\% |
| NUTRITIONAL COUNSELING/CLASSES | 59.82\% | POST NATAL | 14.42\% |
| WEIGHT MANAGEMENT | 57.97\% | ARTHRITIS PROGRAMS | 12.12\% |
| CORPORATE PROGRAMMING | 57.35\% | HOSPITAL AFFILIATION | 11.78\% |
| HI IMPACT AEROBICS | 56.17\% | SELF DEFENSE | 11.45\% |
| EXERCISE PRESCRIPTIONS | 54.43\% | WALKING CLASSES | 10.83\% |
| CROSS TRAINING | 48.99\% | HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE PROGRAMS | 10.61\% |
| KICKBOXING | 47.31\% | CARDIAC REHAB | 9.65\% |
| MASSAGE | 46.80\% | TENNIS LEAGUE | 9.43\% |
| GROUP STRENGTH TRAINING | 46.35\% | WELLNESS-SMOKING CESSATION | 8.92\% |
| PILATES | 44.22\% | GROUP RUNNING CLASSES | 7.69\% |
| HEALTH EDUCATION PROGRAMS | 43.88\% | DIABETES PROGRAMS | 7.63\% |
| CPR TRAINING CLASSES | 43.10\% | HANDICAP PROGRAMMING | 7.24\% |
| AQUATIC EXERCISE | 42.93\% | OSTEOPOROSIS PROGRAMS | 7.07\% |
| DANCE | 39.51\% | SUBSIDIZED MEMBERSHIPS | 6.90\% |
| SUMMER CAMP PROGRAMMING | 38.78\% | LATERAL MOTION TRAINERS | 6.51\% |
| BOOT CAMP - OUTDOOR | 37.77\% | CHIROPRACTIC SERVICES | 6.17\% |
| TOWEL SERVICE | 34.29\% | TREADMILL CLASSES | 5.95\% |
| SENIOR'S PROGRAMMING (62+) | 30.64\% | SQUASH LEAGUE | 5.56\% |
| VITAMIN/SUPPLEMENT SALES | 30.13\% | GYMNASTICS | 5.56\% |
| SOCIAL PROGRAMS | 28.40\% | SOCCER | 5.39\% |
| COMPETITIVE SPORTS | 27.16\% | PHYSICIAN AFFILIATION | 4.77\% |
| JUNIOR'S PROGRAMMING (13-18) | 26.94\% | HMO/INSURANCE AFFILIATION | 4.71\% |
| CHILDREN'S PROGRAMMING (0-12) | 26.88\% | SELL HOME FITNESS EQUIPMENT | 2.53\% |
| MARTIAL ARTS | 26.66\% | GROUP ROWING CLASSES | 2.02\% |
| VOLLEYBALL | 25.03\% |  |  |
| BOXING | 23.63\% |  |  |
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## CLUBPAYROLLANDSTAFFING

Staff levels decreased slightly from 2013 to 2014. The typical club reported employing about 23 part-time staff and 12 full-time staff in 2014. In terms of full-time employees, there was a little variance year-over-year across club type and size. For the most part, staff levels have solidified after most clubs "right-sized" during 2009 after a very challenging 2008. Some clubs began hiring again when the economy showed signs of strengthening in 2010 and since then many have realized they could continue to "do more with less," recognizing that they could operate with a lean management style while not experiencing a decline in member service. Along these lines, it appears that most utilize their part-time employees to ramp up or down as needed. The typical respondent reported payroll as a percent of revenue of $39.0 \%$ for $2014 \mathrm{vs} .38 .0 \%$ for 2013. In 2014, the typical respondent reported revenue ( $\$ 000$ s) per full-time equivalent employee of $\$ 86.4$, meaning that $\$ 86,400$ in revenue is generated per full-time equivalent employee ( 2,080 employee hours).

| Staff Size by Club Type (medians) | 2014 |  | 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full-time | Part-time | Full-time | Part-time |
| All Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=124$ ) | 12.0 | 22.5 | 13.0 | 40.3 |
| Multipurpose Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=37$ ) | 19.1 | 55.8 | 19.5 | 51.0 |
| Fitness-only Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ) | 6.9 | 16.2 | 6.6 | 20.0 |
| Part of Chain or Multi-club Group ( $\mathrm{n}=79$ ) | 11.9 | 19.0 | 11.8 | 29.8 |
| Independent Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=45$ ) | 12.0 | 32.0 | 13.0 | 46.5 |
| Clubs with Less than 20,000 sq. ft. ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| Clubs between 20,000 to 34,999 sq. ft. ( $\mathrm{n}=17$ ) | 11.5 | 30.4 | 10.0 | 34.8 |
| Clubs between 35,000 to 59,999 sq. ft. ( $\mathrm{n}=8$ ) | 12.0 | 54.0 | 12.8 | 68.5 |
| Clubs with 60,000 sq. ft. or More ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | 48.0 | 145.0 | 47.0 | 150.0 |

## CLUBPAYROLLANDSTAFFING

## 2014PAYROLLASAPERCENTOFREVENUE(MEDIANS)



| Revenue per Full-time Equivalent (FTE) (\$000s) (median) | 2014 | 2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All Clubs (n=124) | $\$ 86.39$ | $\$ 86.73$ |
| Multipurpose Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=37$ ) | $\$ 85.00$ | $\$ 85.81$ |
| Fitness-only Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ) | $\$ 120.61$ | $\$ 117.09$ |
| Part of Chain or Multi-club Group (n=79) | $\$ 85.00$ | $\$ 86.58$ |
| Independent Clubs (n=45) | $\$ 86.68$ | $\$ 89.62$ |
| Clubs with Less than 20,000 sq. ft. (n=19) | $\$ 113.61$ | $\$ 114.14$ |
| Clubs between 20,000 to 34,999 sq. ft. (n=17) | $\$ 70.32$ | $\$ 71.48$ |
| Clubs between 35,000 to 59,999 sq. ft. (n=8) | $\$ 74.28$ | $\$ 85.81$ |
| Clubs with 60,000 sq. ft. or More (n=19) | $\$ 91.33$ | $\$ 89.62$ |

Overall, clubs reported investing a median of $6.1 \%$ of total revenues or \$154,627 back into the club. Clubs reported spending a median of $1.4 \%$ of total revenues on fitness equipment in 2014. Clubs also reported spending $0.3 \%$ and $3.1 \%$ of total revenues on office equipment and facility and ground needs, respectively. It is a good sign that clubs continue to understand that it is imperative to continue to reinvest in their clubs. As the economy continues to strengthen, these clubs will be well positioned to reap the rewards of potential new members who value the new, highquality equipment, freshly painted walls, and new carpet.

When we compare spending by club type, the median dollar amount spent by fitness-only clubs is less than the amount spent by multipurpose clubs. Multipurpose clubs spend a significantly higher amount on facilities and grounds, both in terms of real dollars as well as spending as a percent of revenue. As a percent of revenue, fitness-only clubs spent a higher percent of revenues on equipment than multipurpose
clubs, while multipurpose clubs spent more on facility and grounds. This is typical of these club types and can be reasoned that multipurpose clubs tend to be larger facilities than fitness-only and have more expenses for facility and grounds, while fitness-only clubs are operating in a highly competitive bracket and must keep their primary assets (equipment) up to date. Investing in capital expenditures not only maintains the club; it can contribute to an increase in revenues and the bottom line.

The upper quartile of clubs that spent more on reinvestment reported significantly greater pre-tax earnings as a percent of total revenue and reported a much higher increase in revenues. Interestingly, capital investments were not the only areas in which these clubs invested more. As indicated by a higher payroll as a percent of revenue, these clubs also invested heavily in what many consider to be the most valuable asset of a club, its employees.

| Reinvestment Spending per Club <br> (by Club Type) |  | All Clubs <br> $(\mathrm{n}=124)$ | Multipurpose Clubs <br> $(\mathrm{n}=37)$ |  | Fitness-only Clubs <br> $(\mathrm{n}=29)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range |  |
| Fitness Equipment | $\$ 47,033$ | $19,982-85,875$ | $\$ 68,301$ | $19,982-103,940$ | $\$ 41,716$ | $20,259-51,500$ |
| Office Equipment | $\$ 9,616$ | $1,714-21,875$ | $\$ 11,880$ | $4,123-26,945$ | $\$ 4,183$ | $1,000-14,827$ |
| Facility \& Grounds | $\$ 69,471$ | $12,087-197,038$ | $\$ 116,512$ | $61,884-377,000$ | $\$ 3,925$ | $1,447-29,640$ |
| Total Reinvestment Dollars | $\$ 154,627$ | $70,063-251,176$ | $\$ 220,996$ | $125,474-483,000$ | $\$ 72,087$ | $22,760-141,220$ |

Note: The total dollar and percent figures on each of the above tables represent the median and middle range values of the raw data. These figures are not the sum of the values in the three preceding rows, which indicate club reinvestment in fitness equipment, office equipment, and facility \& grounds.

| Reinvestment as a Percent of Revenue <br> (by Club Type) | All Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=124$ ) |  | Multipurpose Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=37$ ) | Fitness-only Clubs ( $\mathrm{n}=29$ ) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range |
| Fitness Equipment | $1.4 \%$ | $0.8-2.5$ | $1.3 \%$ | $0.8-2.5$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.2-2.4$ |
| Office Equipment | $0.3 \%$ | $0.1-0.6$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.1-0.5$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.1-0.6$ |
| Facility \& Grounds | $3.1 \%$ | $0.8-5.6$ | $4.0 \%$ | $2.6-8.2$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.1-1.1$ |
| Total Reinvestment as a \% of Revenue | $6.1 \%$ | $2.9-8.8$ | $7.1 \%$ | $4.7-10.8$ | $3.1 \%$ | $2.1-6.2$ |

Note: The total dollar and percent figures on each of the above tables represent the median and middle range values of the raw data. These figures are not the sum of the values in the three preceding rows, which indicate club reinvestment in fitness equipment, office equipment, and facility \& grounds.

| Club Reinvestment and Perfermance | Top 25\% | Middle 50\% | Bottom 25\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Club Reinvestment and Performance | Top 25\% | Middle 50\% | Bottom 25\% |
| Total Spending as a Percent of Revenue | $14.4 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Revenue Growth | $14.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Net Membership Growth | $7.1 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $-0.5 \%$ |
| Rate of Member Retention (Accounts) | $70.0 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ |
| EBITDA as \% of Revenue | $20.5 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ |
| Pre-tax Earnings as \% of Revenue | $8.9 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Total Payroll as \% of Revenue | $46.7 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ |

Reinvestment Spending by Category (Club Size)

| Clubs with <br> Less Than 20,000 sq ft | Median | Middle Range |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fitness Equipment | $\$ 10,716$ | $6,949-29,125$ |
| Office Equipment | $\$ 1,400$ | $419-2,624$ |
| Facility \& Grounds | $\$ 9,391$ | $3,932-40,750$ |
| Total Reinvestment Dollars | $\$ 23,064$ | $18,500-109,630$ |
|  |  |  |
| Clubs with <br> 20,000 to 34,999 sq ft | $\$ 40,447$ | $22,500-55,843$ |
| Fitness Equipment | $\$ 5,933$ | $1,593-10,625$ |
| Office Equipment | $\$ 40,093$ | $25,382-69,254$ |
| Facility \& Grounds | $\$ 103,410$ | $78,138-126,079$ |
| Total Reinvestment Dollars |  |  |

Reinvestment as a Percent of Revenue (Club Size)

| Clubs with <br> Less Than 20,000 sq ft | Median | Middle Range |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fitness Equipment | $1.7 \%$ | $0.8-3.2$ |
| Office Equipment | $0.2 \%$ | $0.1-0.4$ |


| Facility \& Grounds | $1.1 \%$ | $0.1-0.4$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Reinvestment as a <br> Percent of Revenue | $8.5 \%$ | $2.2-14.8$ |


| Clubs with <br> 20,000 to $34,999 ~ s q ~ f t ~$ | Median | Middle Range |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fitness Equipment | $1.7 \%$ | $0.8-3.2$ |
| Office Equipment | $0.2 \%$ | $0.1-0.4$ |
| Facility \& Grounds | $1.1 \%$ | $0.7-12.0$ |
| Total Reinvestment as a <br> Percent of Revenue | $8.5 \%$ | $2.2-14.8$ |


| Clubs with <br> 35,000 to 59,999 sq ft | Median | Middle Range |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Fitness Equipment | $2.2 \%$ | $1.2-3.0$ |
| Office Equipment | $0.5 \%$ | $0.3-0.7$ |
| Facility \& Grounds | $4.5 \%$ | $3.8-6.6$ |
| Total Reinvestment as a <br> Percent of Revenue | $7.3 \%$ | $6.9-10.6$ |
| Clubs with <br> 60,000 sq ft or More | $0.8 \%$ | Median |

Note: The total dollar and percent figures on each table represent the median and middle range values of the raw data. These figures are not the sum of the values in the three preceding rows, which indicate club reinvestment in fitness equipment, office equipment, and facility \& grounds.

## PROFIT CENTER ANALYSIS erous r ree

In addition to membership dues and fees, other departments and profit centers provide responding clubs with non-dues revenue. These departments include fitness offerings (personal training, small group training, etc.), racquet offerings, spa services, youth programming, pro shop/retail sales, aquatics/pool, and food and beverage sales. The following section examines how clubs performed within these profit centers.

Multipurpose clubs reported $6.9 \%$ of total revenues from personal training. Multipurpose clubs also reported 5.4\% of total revenues coming from racquet sports, 3.5\% from food and beverage services, and 2.9\% from children and youth programs. For fitness-only clubs, fitness offerings accounted
for a large portion of non-dues revenues, accounting for 10.2\% of total revenues. Although both fitness-only clubs and multipurpose clubs may operate similar department/ profit centers, the profit margins for the various departments can vary depending on how the department is operated. For example, multipurpose clubs may operate some departments more as a volume business or as value-adds to their core membership. They may even operate some departments as loss leaders to differentiate their clubs as an experience rather than a destination. In terms of profit margins, personal training at fitness-only clubs operate at a higher profit margin than at the multipurpose clubs.

## PROFITCENTER ANALYSIS <br> BY CLUB TYPE

| Department Revenues as $\%$ of Total Revenue | All Clubs |  | Multipurpose Clubs |  | Fitness-only Clubs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range |
| Personal Training | 7.2\% | 3.8-15.8 | 6.9\% | 3.8-12.0 | 10.2\% | 7.0-19.7 |
| Small Group Training | 0.9\% | 0.6-1.9 | 0.9\% | 0.6-2.3 | 0.7\% | ISD |
| Racquet | 5.4\% | 1.6-15.5 | 5.4\% | 1.6-15.5 | ISD | ISD |
| Spa | 2.5\% | 1.3-6.7 | 2.1\% | 1.3-5.9 | 3.0\% | 2.2-7.9 |
| Pro-Shop/Retail | 1.1\% | 0.4-1.6 | 1.1\% | 0.6-2.2 | 0.4\% | 0.3-1.1 |
| Food \& Beverage | 2.9\% | 0.8-6.4 | 3.5\% | 1.8-6.4 | 0.9\% | 0.6-5.9 |
| Children \& Youth Programs | 2.4\% | 0.9-4.3 | 2.9\% | 1.2-4.5 | 0.8\% | ISD |
| Aquatics/Pool | 1.9\% | 1.1-3.5 | 1.9\% | 1.1-3.4 | 1.6\% | ISD |
| Profit Margin <br> as a \% of Department Revenues | All Clubs |  | Multipurpose Clubs |  | Fitness-only Clubs |  |
|  | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range |
| Personal Training | 27.9\% | 9.8-44.0 | 25.5\% | 8.6-44.3 | 29.1\% | 16.6-43.3 |
| Small Group Training | 34.5\% | 12.1-47.6 | 38.7\% | 12.1-47.6 | 32.2\% | ISD |
| Racquet | 30.5\% | 11.7-48.9 | 30.5\% | 11.7-48.9 | ISD | ISD |
| Spa | 16.4\% | 8.1-25.9 | 14.0\% | 11.6-25.9 | 18.8\% | -6.1-25.8 |
| Pro-Shop/Retail | 19.1\% | 2.0-32.0 | 22.8\% | 6.2-31.7 | 5.1\% | ISD |
| Food \& Beverage | 14.4\% | -3.6-32.7 | 5.1\% | -4.7-22.6 | 26.8\% | 17.2-32.7 |
| Children \& Youth Programs | 6.8\% | -55.8-21.9 | 13.9\% | -47.0-25.0 | -43.8\% | ISD |
| Aquatics/Pool | 2.3\% | -42.9-21.1 | 6.0\% | -46.2-22.6 | -14.1\% | ISD |

[^13]
## PROFITCENTER ANALYSIS <br> BY CLUB TYPE

| Department Revenues <br> as \% of Total | Part of Chain or <br> Multi-club Group |  | Medependent Clubs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personal Training | $7.7 \%$ | $4.6-11.3$ | $6.9 \%$ | Middle Range |
| Small Group Training | $0.9 \%$ | $0.6-2.3$ | $0.9 \%$ | $3.8-18.0$ |
| Racquet | $4.3 \%$ | $1.3-12.3$ | $5.4 \%$ | $0.8-1.2$ |
| Spa | $1.0 \%$ | $1.4-7.9$ | $2.1 \%$ | $3.3-15.5$ |
| Pro-Shop/Retail | $1.1 \%$ | $0.9-1.4$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.2-5.8$ |
| Food \& Beverage | $2.5 \%$ | $0.8-3.6$ | $6.4 \%$ | $0.3-2.5$ |
| Children \& Youth Programs | $2.5 \%$ | $1.1-4.5$ | $2.4 \%$ | $1.2-7.7$ |
| Aquatics/Pool | $1.9 \%$ | $1.2-3.2$ | $1.9 \%$ | $0.6-3.9$ |


| Profit Margin as a \% of Department <br> Revenues | Part of Chain or <br> Multi-club Group |  | Independent Clubs |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range |  |
| Personal Training | $29.0 \%$ | $8.8-50.4$ | $23.1 \%$ | $14.9-38.5$ |
| Small Group Training | $32.2 \%$ | $16.7-43.7$ | $40.0 \%$ | $6.6-52.0$ |
| Racquet | $46.9 \%$ | $32.0-55.6$ | $19.6 \%$ | $7.2-38.4$ |
| Spa | $21.2 \%$ | $10.6-25.8$ | $12.6 \%$ | $7.3-26.4$ |
| Pro-Shop/Retail | $21.7 \%$ | $5.0-32.2$ | $16.5 \%$ | $-21.0-26.3$ |
| Food \& Beverage | $18.6 \%$ | $-0.3-31.2$ | $5.1 \%$ | $-4.1-28.6$ |
| Children \& Youth Programs | $11.8 \%$ | $-44.6-20.6$ | $-4.4 \%$ | $-113.5-21.9$ |
| Aquatics/Pool | $2.3 \%$ | $-32.6-16.6$ | $-11.6 \%$ | $-65.5-19.4$ |

Success within different departments does not seem to be related to club size. Each club size segment is able to capture revenue from these various departments. Any two clubs can be quite successful with vastly different offerings. For example, fitness offerings such as personal training and group exercise account for a higher percentage of revenues in the smaller to mid-size clubs. The largest club segment, clubs with 60,000 square feet or more, capitalized on their spa services and reported $4.0 \%$ of their total revenues coming from services like massage, nail maintenance, and facials, etc. The personal training, food and beverage, and racquet offerings reported generating $6.2 \%, 6.0 \%$, and $5.8 \%$ of total revenues, respectively. Clubs of this size not only have the
space for all types of specialized departments, they typically have the staffing and traditionally have been open for 10 or more years, indicating that they have figured out what their consumer wants and they have most likely mastered the management of expenses to generate more revenue. These types of additional experiences and services within a club of this size bode well for financial performance during challenging times. If membership growth stagnates, these clubs can often generate revenue growth out of non-dues revenue from the existing membership. These clubs often can market themselves as an experience rather than a destination.

## PROFIT CENTER ANALYSIS <br> BY CLUB SIZE

| Department Revenues as \% of Total | Clubs With Less Than 20,000 sq ft |  | Clubs Between 20,000-34,999 sq ft |  | Clubs Between $35,000-59,999$ sq ft |  | Clubs With $60,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ or More |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range |
| Personal Training | 10.2\% | ISD | 10.2\% | 3.0-15.2 | 14.9\% | 5.6-24.5 | 6.2\% | 3.8-8.3 |
| Small Group Training | ISD | ISD | 1.6\% | ISD | ISD | ISD | 0.7\% | 0.6-1.0 |
| Racquet | ISD | ISD | 0.9\% | ISD | ISD | ISD | 5.8\% | 4.0-10.4 |
| Spa | ISD | ISD | 2.1\% | ISD | 3.8\% | ISD | 4.0\% | 1.7-10.2 |
| Pro-Shop/Retail | 0.6\% | ISD | 1.1\% | 0.9-1.6 | 0.3\% | ISD | 1.4\% | 0.5-2.5 |
| Food \& Beverage | 3.6\% | ISD | 0.7\% | 0.5-0.9 | 2.5\% | 1.3-3.6 | 6.0\% | 4.2-7.7 |
| Children \& Youth Programs | ISD | ISD | 1.2\% | 0.9-3.7 | 1.1\% | ISD | 3.2\% | 1.7-5.6 |
| Aquatics/Pool | ISD | ISD | 1.9\% | 1.0-2.8 | ISD | ISD | 2.6\% | 1.0-3.7 |


| Department Revenues as \% of Total | Clubs With Less Than $20,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ |  | Clubs Between 20,000-34,999 sq ft |  | Clubs Between $35,000-59,999$ sq ft |  | Clubs With 60,000 sq ft or More |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range | Median | Middle Range |
| Personal Training | 38.9\% | ISD | 36.3\% | 25.5-49.0 | 18.0\% | 1.2-33.8 | 20.0\% | 6.0-36.8 |
| Small Group Training | ISD | ISD | 30.0\% | ISD | ISD | ISD | 16.0\% | 6.6-40.0 |
| Racquet | ISD | ISD | 58.8\% | ISD | ISD | ISD | 22.9\% | -2.1-38.9 |
| Spa | ISD | ISD | 14.0\% | ISD | 12.4\% | ISD | 18.8\% | 11.6-25.8 |
| Pro-Shop/Retail | ISD | ISD | 31.9\% | -6.5-37.5 | ISD | ISD | 23.4\% | 8.5-29.9 |
| Food \& Beverage | ISD | ISD | 28.0\% | ISD | 35.1\% | ISD | 5.1\% | -3.0-16.9 |
| Children \& Youth Programs | ISD | ISD | 7.3\% | -44.6-39.2 | ISD | ISD | 3.8\% | -58.8-18.2 |
| Aquatics/Pool | ISD | ISD | 2.3\% | -50.4-18.1 | ISD | ISD | -5.9\% | -41.7-19.4 |

[^14]Since the fitness department represents one of the most popular sources of non-dues revenue for a club, it is important to understand how clubs manage this profit center. Nearly all participating clubs include group exercise in membership fees; the few that do not charge about $\$ 10$ per class. Clubs charge a median of $\$ 60$ for a private personal training session and $\$ 26$ per participant for a partner personal training session. Overall, the typical club attracts 200 personal training clients, which represents approximately $8 \%$ of total membership.

| Fitness Department Information |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage that include group exercise in membership fees | $92.5 \%$ |  |  |
| If Not Included, Amount Charged per Group Exercise Class | $\$ 10.00$ |  |  |
| Hourly rate for single Private personal training session | $\$ 60.00$ |  |  |
| Hourly rate for single Partner personal training session (per person) | $\$ 26.09$ |  |  |
| Total Number of Personal Training Clients per Club | 200.0 |  |  |

## ALL CLUBS - 2014

In periods where consumer spending and disposable income are declining for many people, it is imperative that clubs focus on sales and tightly manage their expenses. It is also important to determine the long-term success of a business by observing the trends in reporting. Historically, clubs have two types of revenue: membership dues and fees and nondues related revenue, i.e. ancillary services such as personal training, spa services, food and beverage, etc. Consistently, clubs have reported 70-100\% of total revenues derived from membership dues and fees and, in 2014, all clubs in this study reported nearly $71 \%$ of their total revenues generated from membership dues and fees. These clubs reported $29 \%$ of total revenues from ancillary services. This, too, is historically consistent with past club performance. Just as important as the growth in sales, so is the management of expenses.

Clubs responding to this survey are typically mature facilities and are able to predict their fixed costs very well and manage their variable costs. In 2014, clubs reported $83 \%$ of total revenues attributed to operating expenses. The largest of these expenses is payroll, at 41.9\% of total revenue. When analyzing the income statements of clubs with the highest EBITDA as a percentage of total revenues, they manage their expenses much more effectively across nearly every expense category. Some of the advantage in EBITDA is attributable to the rent expense for their clubs as it is much lower than the clubs with lower EBITDA. This may indicate these clubs own their facilities or have been able to secure more favorable rental agreements. The tightening of even mundane expenses can make the difference between earnings growth and decline. Even if we exclude the rent expense from the equation, nearly every expense category is better managed by those who reported the highest EBITDA.

In challenging times, cash is king and the balance sheet
defines the kings from the paupers. The club sample indicates that clubs reported an average of about $30 \%$ of their total assets as current assets. The remaining assets, $70 \%$ were tied up in non-current assets, or cash that is tied up for a year or more. These clubs also reported an average of $17 \%$ for current liabilities. These clubs reported being able to meet their short-term debt obligations due to their current assets outpacing their current liabilities. The current ratio for this sample is 1.4 . It is important to note that clubs participating in the IDS have consistently reported a current ratio of over 1 with current assets and liabilities within a range comparable to historical findings.

Companies with a strong balance sheet will be able to internally finance operations, i.e. payroll expenses, new equipment, capital expenditures, etc., without being dependent on external debt financing and the challenges involved in securing this external financing. This flexibility can allow a company to take advantage of opportunities when others cannot. In this year's sample, clubs use of long-term debt to finance operations was reported at $43 \%$ of total assets. Historically, this ratio has typically been around 50\%. The debt to equity ratio was 1.5 , or for every dollar of owner's equity the company had one dollar and fifty cents in debt. Twenty-five percent of clubs reported a debt to equity ratio of 0.8 or less, indicating that for every dollar of owner's equity, the club also had only eighty cents in debt. The decline in the long-term debt indicates that respondents are paying down debt to improve their financial flexibility. Paying down debt improves a club's financial flexibility, but clubs should also understand that in a favorable lending environment with lower rates, clubs may have the opportunity to leverage their equity and finance some operations with long-term liabilities. This can potentially work to a club's advantage; as long as they are able to generate a higher profit than the cost of the debt (interest expense), they will improve their return on equity.

## INCOMESTATEMENT ANDBALANCESHEET DATA

|  | ALL CLUBS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Middle Range |
| Total Revenue per Club (thousands) | \$4,137.2 | \$2,393.9 | 1,325.5-5,877.4 |
| Income Statement as Percent of Revenue |  |  |  |
| Membership Dues and Fees | 70.9\% | 69.4\% | 60.6-84.0 |
| Non-Dues Revenue | 29.1\% | 30.6\% | 16.0-39.5 |
| Total Revenue | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |
| Total Payroll (Salaries, Wages, \& Employee Benefits) | 41.9\% | 41.9\% | 37.0-46.4 |
| Rent--Land \& Building | 10.6\% | 9.6\% | 0.4-18.1 |
| Rent--Equipment | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-0.3 |
| Real Estate/Property Taxes | 2.4\% | 2.1\% | 0.7-3.2 |
| Utilities | 5.8\% | 5.8\% | 3.6-7.0 |
| Insurance | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 0.5-1.5 |
| Other Occupancy Expenses | 3.3\% | 2.2\% | 0.0-4.9 |
| Sales \& Marketing | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 1.4-3.6 |
| General \& Administrative | 7.8\% | 6.9\% | 4.8-10.9 |
| Other Club Operating Expenses | 7.1\% | 6.7\% | 1.1-11.1 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 83.4\% | 84.9\% | 75.3-90.2 |
| Income Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) | 16.6\% | 15.1\% | 9.8-24.7 |
| Depreciation and Amortization | 6.2\% | 5.7\% | 3.4-8.1 |
| Interest | 2.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.2-3.2 |
| Profit Before Taxes (PBT) | 7.9\% | 6.4\% | 1.5-13.8 |
| Total Income Taxes | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-0.6 |
| Income After Taxes | 6.9\% | 5.6\% | 1.5-11.9 |
| Summary Balance Sheet (\% Total Assets) |  |  |  |
| Current Assets | 29.4\% | 22.9\% | 13.9-39.0 |
| Non-Current Assets | 70.6\% | 77.1\% | 61.0-86.1 |
| Total Assets | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
| Current Liabilities | 16.5\% | 14.3\% | 8.0-22.7 |
| Long-Term Debt Net of Current Maturities | 43.3\% | 42.2\% | 21.6-58.3 |
| Total Liabilities | 59.7\% | 60.9\% | 45.3-71.4 |
| Owner's Equity | 40.3\% | 39.1\% | 28.6-54.7 |
| Total Liabilities and Owner's Equity | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
| Liquidity, Financing and Activity/Profitability Ratios |  | Median | Middle Range |
| Current Ratio |  | 1.4 | 1.2-2.5 |
| Debt-Equity Ratio |  | 1.5 | 0.8-2.2 |
| Interest Coverage Ratio |  | 5.2 | 1.9-13.2 |
| Fixed Assets Turnover |  | 1.7 | 1.0-6.5 |
| Total Assets Turnover (Sales to Assets) |  | 1.1 | 0.7-2.7 |
| Return on Owner's Equity |  | 19.0\% | 5.6-45.4 |
| Return on Fixed Assets |  | 10.7\% | 2.1-36.9 |
| Return on Total Assets |  | 8.0\% | 1.5-24.1 |

[^15]
## INCOMESTATEMENT ANDBALANCESHEETDATA

## MULTIPURPOSE \& FITNESS-ONLY CLUBS - 2014

Although both club types reported a profit in 2014, multipurpose clubs reported a higher EBITDA than fitness-only clubs ( $17.2 \%$ vs. $15.3 \%$ of total revenues). Fitness-only clubs had a much higher percentage of revenues from membership dues and fees than multipurpose clubs ( $76.4 \%$ vs. $68.5 \%$ ). When expenses are compared, the fitness-only clubs paid out more in rent for land and building than multipurpose clubs ( $15.0 \%$ vs. $8.6 \%$ of total revenues). Mature multipurpose club operations sometimes own their land and/or building or have negotiated a very low long-term lease, while fitness-only clubs are typically located in high-rent areas where they pay more for street-front access. Utilities are another expense, which can really put a strain on club earnings. Multipurpose clubs spent significantly more on utilities compared to fitness-only clubs (6.6\% vs. 4.0\% of total revenues). Multipurpose clubs are usually larger clubs with more electricity needs, sometimes provide laundry on site increasing their water needs, and spend more on heating and cooling due to the space of the facility. The utilities for fitnessonly club are sometimes negotiated into leases and can decrease the out of pocket expenses for fitness-only clubs. Payroll expenses were another key category in which multipurpose clubs spent a much higher percentage of revenues than fitness only clubs ( $43.4 \%$ vs. $38.4 \%$ ). The liquidity ratios indicate the multipurpose clubs are in a relatively strong position with a current ratio of 1.4 and a debt to equity ratio of 1.4. Fitness-only clubs also are in a relatively strong position with a current ratio of 1.7 and a debt to equity ratio of 1.8 . Both are reporting long-term debt of less than $50 \%$ which indicates that they have some financial flexibility in the long-term.

## INCOME STATEMENT AND BALANCE SHEET DATA

|  | MULTIPURPOSE CLUBS |  |  | FITNESS ONLY CLUBS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Middle Range | Mean | Median | Middle Range |
| Total Revenue per Club (thousands) | \$4,888.3 | \$2,872.4 | 1,439.3-7,840.0 | \$2,461.6 | \$1,942.5 | 1,005.0-3,257.3 |
| Income Statement as Percent of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Membership Dues and Fees | 68.5\% | 68.5\% | 57.4-78.3 | 76.4\% | 79.6\% | 62.7-87.1 |
| Non-Dues Revenue | 31.5\% | 31.6\% | 21.7-42.6 | 23.6\% | 20.4\% | 12.9-37.3 |
| Total Revenue | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Payroll (Salaries, Wages, \& Employee Benefits) | 43.4\% | 43.2\% | 38.8-48.4 | 38.4\% | 38.5\% | 33.1-42.5 |
| Rent--Land \& Building | 8.6\% | 3.1\% | 0.2-15.9 | 15.0\% | 14.5\% | 9.5-21.8 |
| Rent--Equipment | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-0.3 | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-0.3 |
| Real Estate/Property Taxes | 2.9\% | 2.4\% | 1.9-3.8 | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.1-1.4 |
| Utilities | 6.6\% | 6.1\% | 4.3-7.1 | 4.0\% | 3.2\% | 2.2-6.7 |
| Insurance | 1.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.6-1.7 | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.4-1.0 |
| Other Occupancy Expenses | 3.2\% | 2.4\% | 0.0-4.8 | 3.4\% | 2.1\% | 0.0-5.0 |
| Sales \& Marketing | 2.7\% | 2.6\% | 1.4-3.7 | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.3-3.3 |
| General \& Administrative | 7.5\% | 6.9\% | 4.9-9.9 | 8.3\% | 6.7\% | 4.4-13.3 |
| Other Club Operating Expenses | 6.3\% | 6.3\% | 1.0-9.1 | 8.8\% | 11.3\% | 1.1-13.6 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 82.8\% | 83.5\% | 75.5-89.4 | 84.7\% | 87.7\% | 75.3-91.5 |
| Income Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and Amortization (EBITDA) | 17.2\% | 16.5\% | 10.6-24.5 | 15.3\% | 12.3\% | 8.5-24.7 |
| Depreciation and Amortization | 6.5\% | 6.0\% | 4.1-7.7 | 5.6\% | 4.7\% | 2.8-8.2 |
| Interest | 3.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.3-3.6 | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.0-1.8 |
| Profit Before Taxes (PBT) | 7.7\% | 8.1\% | 1.3-13.1 | 8.4\% | 5.7\% | 1.7-17.5 |
| Total Income Taxes | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-0.6 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-0.5 |
| Income After Taxes | 6.6\% | 6.7\% | 1.3-11.4 | 7.5\% | 5.1\% | 1.7-17.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary Balance Sheet (\% Total Assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets | 25.2\% | 22.8\% | 10.0-31.5 | 38.1\% | 23.5\% | 20.9-53.2 |
| Non-Current Assets | 74.8\% | 77.2\% | 68.5-90.0 | 61.9\% | 76.5\% | 46.8-79.1 |
| Total Assets | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
| Current Liabilities | 15.3\% | 15.0\% | 7.3-22.8 | 18.9\% | 13.7\% | 12.5-19.6 |
| Long-Term Debt Net of Current Maturities | 44.7\% | 42.2\% | 21.6-53.3 | 40.2\% | 48.4\% | 20.5-59.3 |
| Total Liabilities | 60.0\% | 59.6\% | 42.4-65.3 | 59.1\% | 64.4\% | 49.8-71.4 |
| Owner's Equity | 40.0\% | 40.4\% | 34.7-57.6 | 40.9\% | 35.6\% | 28.6-50.2 |
| Total Liabilities and Owner's Equity | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liquidity, Financing and Activity/Profitability Ratios |  | Median | Middle Range |  | Median | Middle Range |
| Current Ratio |  | 1.4 | 1.1-2.2 |  | 1.7 | 1.3-2.8 |
| Debt-Equity Ratio |  | 1.4 | 0.7-1.9 |  | 1.8 | 1.0-2.5 |
| Interest Coverage Ratio |  | 5.4 | 1.9-14.6 |  | 4.4 | 2.4-7.2 |
| Fixed Assets Turnover |  | 1.4 | 1.0-2.7 |  | 7.1 | 1.7-9.4 |
| Total Assets Turnover (Sales to Assets) |  | 1.0 | 0.7-1.9 |  | 2.6 | 0.8-5.8 |
| Return on Owner's Equity |  | 18.9\% | 10.3-37.8 |  | 43.4\% | 0.3-56.0 |
| Return on Fixed Assets |  | 10.4\% | 3.9-23.0 |  | 23.5\% | -2.7-51.5 |
| Return on Total Assets |  | 8.0\% | 1.6-18.0 |  | 9.3\% | -2.4-27.5 |

# INCOMESTATEMENT ANDBALANCESHEETDATA 

## CLUBS PART OF A CHAIN OR MULTI-CLUB GROUP AND INDEPENDENT CLUBS - 2014

Clubs that were part of a chain or multi-club group reported a much higher percentage of revenues from membership dues and fees than the independently operated clubs ( $73 \%$ vs. 68\%). Clubs that were part of a chain or multi-club group reported significantly higher EBITDA ( $19.3 \%$ vs. $13.0 \%$ ) and income before taxes ( $11.2 \%$ vs. $3.5 \%$ ) as a percent of total revenues. The clubs that are part of a chain or multi-club group reported much lower payroll costs as a percent of total revenue ( $39.7 \%$ vs. $44.8 \%$ ). Both the independent clubs and the chain or multi-club group clubs reported fairly solid financial position ratios. Independent clubs reported a debt to equity ratio of only 1.7 and clubs that are part of a chain or multi-club group reported debt to equity ratios of 7.6 . A current ratio of greater than 1.0 indicates that your current assets (near-term receivables, inventory and cash balances, etc.) exceed your current liabilities (near-term obligations such as trade payables, payroll due, rent payable, etc.). As both groups of clubs had current ratios of at least 1.4 , this indicates a strong short-term liquidity position which can allow clubs to better "weather the storm" should unexpected short term expenses arise.

## INCOME STATEMENT AND BALANCE SHEET DATA

|  | CHAIN OR MULTI-CLUB GROUP |  |  | INDEPENDENT CLUBS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Middle Range | Mean | Median | Middle Range |
| Total Revenue per Club (thousands) | \$3,889.0 | \$2,100.2 | 1,184.5-3,493.1 | \$4,468.1 | \$2,897.5 | 1,501.0-6,175.8 |
| Income Statement as Percent of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Membership Dues and Fees | 73.1\% | 76.5\% | 63.4-85.9 | 68.0\% | 65.5\% | 57.7-73.6 |
| Non-Dues Revenue | 26.9\% | 23.5\% | 14.1-36.6 | 32.0\% | 34.5\% | 26.4-42.3 |
| Total Revenue | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Payroll (Salaries, Wages, \& Employee Benefits) | 39.7\% | 38.6\% | 34.4-43.2 | 44.8\% | 44.6\% | 41.5-50.2 |
| Rent--Land \& Building | 11.7\% | 11.0\% | 2.6-17.0 | 9.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0-18.9 |
| Rent--Equipment | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-0.2 | 1.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0-0.6 |
| Real Estate/Property Taxes | 2.2\% | 2.1\% | 1.0-2.5 | 2.6\% | 1.8\% | 0.3-3.9 |
| Utilities | 5.0\% | 4.7\% | 3.1-6.3 | 6.8\% | 6.6\% | 4.7-7.4 |
| Insurance | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.5-1.5 | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.6-1.6 |
| Other Occupancy Expenses | 4.7\% | 3.8\% | 2.1-6.2 | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-1.9 |
| Sales \& Marketing | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 1.3-3.7 | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.1-2.8 |
| General \& Administrative | 5.8\% | 5.4\% | 3.3-7.5 | 10.4\% | 9.3\% | 6.4-13.4 |
| Other Club Operating Expenses | 7.0\% | 7.5\% | 1.1-11.2 | 7.2\% | 6.3\% | 0.5-9.4 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 80.7\% | 82.1\% | 74.2-89.2 | 87.0\% | 86.9\% | 81.8-91.4 |
| Income Before Interest, Taxes, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) | 19.3\% | 17.9\% | 10.8-25.8 | 13.0\% | 13.1\% | 8.6-18.2 |
| Depreciation and Amortization | 5.9\% | 6.0\% | 4.1-7.0 | 6.6\% | 5.0\% | 2.5-9.5 |
| Interest | 2.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.8-3.3 | 2.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.0-2.3 |
| Profit Before Taxes (PBT) | 11.2\% | 10.4\% | 4.0-17.6 | 3.5\% | 4.1\% | 0.3-8.4 |
| Total Income Taxes | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0-1.3 | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-0.1 |
| Income After Taxes | 9.6\% | 8.4\% | 3.7-17.5 | 3.3\% | 4.0\% | 0.3-8.4 |
| Summary Balance Sheet (\% Total Assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets | 32.8\% | 30.8\% | 21.1-39.8 | 25.4\% | 18.2\% | 11.7-22.9 |
| Non-Current Assets | 67.2\% | 69.2\% | 60.2-78.9 | 74.6\% | 81.8\% | 77.1-88.4 |
| Total Assets | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
| Current Liabilities | 17.7\% | 16.3\% | 8.3-23.1 | 15.0\% | 13.1\% | 7.3-15.0 |
| Long-Term Debt Net of Current Maturities | 46.0\% | 42.2\% | 36.3-53.9 | 40.0\% | 33.5\% | 9.4-62.4 |
| Total Liabilities | 63.7\% | 62.8\% | 53.4-67.3 | 55.0\% | 53.7\% | 34.5-71.4 |
| Owner's Equity | 36.3\% | 37.2\% | 32.7-46.7 | 45.0\% | 46.3\% | 28.6-65.5 |
| Total Liabilities and Owner's Equity | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liquidity, Financing and Activity/Profitability Ratios |  | Median | Middle Range |  | Median | Middle Range |
| Current Ratio |  | 1.4 | 1.2-4.0 |  | 1.5 | 1.1-1.7 |
| Debt-Equity Ratio |  | 1.6 | 1.1-1.9 |  | 1.1 | 0.5-2.5 |
| Interest Coverage Ratio |  | 5.0 | 3.0-10.1 |  | 7.2 | 1.0-20.2 |
| Fixed Assets Turnover |  | 2.2 | 1.1-5.0 |  | 1.2 | 0.7-6.5 |
| Total Assets Turnover (Sales to Assets) |  | 1.5 | 0.8-2.4 |  | 1.0 | 0.5-4.1 |
| Return on Owner's Equity |  | 29.3\% | 16.7-56.0 |  | 5.6\% | 0.3-31.4 |
| Return on Fixed Assets |  | 11.9\% | 8.5-41.6 |  | 3.9\% | 0.1-28.1 |
| Return on Total Assets |  | 8.6\% | 7.6-20.1 |  | 1.5\% | 0.1-24.1 |

## SD = Insufficient data to report.

Note: Data reflects a percentage of total revenues or assets unless indicated otherwise. Category definitions conform to IHRSA's Uniform System of Accounts for the Health, Racquet, and Sportsclub Industry. Each mean and median was independently calculated or identified for each individual set or subset of responses to a given question. As a result, component figures on any item may not necessarily total $100 \%$ and normal mathematical relationships between items may not always exist.

## INCOMESTATEMENT ANDBALANCESHEETDATA

## CLUB SIZE-2014

As the size of the clubs increased, the percentage of revenue derived from non-dues increased, with the largest clubs, those with 60,000 square feet or more, reporting over $38 \%$ of total revenues generated from non-dues related revenue. Typically, these larger clubs offer more ancillary services due to size and may charge more for services or have more members purchasing ancillary products and services. The clubs between 20,000 to 34,999 square feet managed their expenses most effectively in 2014, keeping their total operating expenses at just under $81 \%$. For these clubs, besides payroll expense, rent for their land and buildings accounted for a large percentage of revenue at nearly $13 \%$. Total operating expenses ranged from around $81 \%$ to 87\% for other club size segments. The largest clubs reported a much higher percentage of their expenses in payroll at 45.7\%. Balance sheet data revealed that clubs with 35,000 to 59,999 square feet were more leveraged and subsequently reported the highest interest expense as a percent of total revenue; nonetheless, they are still covering their interest expenses in reporting an interest coverage ratio of 3.5 times. The largest clubs reported the lowest current ratio at 1.3. Although this figure is still over 1.0, some caution should be taken. When this short-term liquidity ratio drops below 1.0 , this indicates there isn't much room for error. For instance, if a large number of members dropped their accounts, short-term debt obligations may not be able to be met without tapping long-term debt financing.

## INCOME STATEMENT ANDBALANCE SHEETDATA

|  | CLUBS WITH LESS THAN 20,000 SQ FT |  |  | CLUBS BETWEEN 20,000 AND 34,999 SQ FT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Middle Range | Mean | Median | Middle Range |
| Total Revenue per Club (thousands) | \$876.1 | \$871.8 | ISD | \$1,800.2 | \$1,651.0 | 1,018.0-2,405.7 |
| Income Statement as Percent of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Membership Dues and Fees | 80.7\% | 81.2\% | ISD | 78.2\% | 80.3\% | 75.8-88.7 |
| Non-Dues Revenue | 19.3\% | 18.9\% | ISD | 21.8\% | 19.7\% | 11.3-24.2 |
| Total Revenue | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | ISD | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Payroll (Salaries, Wages, \& Employee Benefits) | 35.4\% | 41.7\% | ISD | 39.9\% | 38.3\% | 34.9-40.1 |
| Rent--Land \& Building | 18.2\% | 18.5\% | ISD | 12.7\% | 13.9\% | 8.3-17.0 |
| Rent--Equipment | 4.6\% | 1.3\% | ISD | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-0.3 |
| Real Estate/Property Taxes | 1.6\% | 1.1\% | ISD | 3.3\% | 2.4\% | 2.0-4.0 |
| Utilities | 3.8\% | 4.0\% | ISD | 6.2\% | 5.6\% | 4.5-6.4 |
| Insurance | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | ISD | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.3-1.3 |
| Other Occupancy Expenses | 3.2\% | 1.8\% | ISD | 5.6\% | 4.3\% | 2.3-8.8 |
| Sales \& Marketing | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | ISD | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 1.2-4.0 |
| General \& Administrative | 9.1\% | 7.6\% | ISD | 3.9\% | 3.2\% | 1.7-5.7 |
| Other Club Operating Expenses | 4.7\% | 2.9\% | ISD | 5.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.0-8.4 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 84.2\% | 88.2\% | ISD | 80.9\% | 85.6\% | 74.0-89.5 |
| Income Before Interest, Taxes, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) | 15.9\% | 11.8\% | ISD | 19.1\% | 14.4\% | 10.5-26.0 |
| Depreciation and Amortization | 4.6\% | 4.0\% | ISD | 6.8\% | 5.9\% | 3.6-7.7 |
| Interest | 1.7\% | 1.2\% | ISD | 2.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.2-2.1 |
| Profit Before Taxes (PBT) | 9.6\% | 8.6\% | ISD | 10.0\% | 7.1\% | 3.3-18.3 |
| Total Income Taxes | 1.5\% | 0.1\% | ISD | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0-0.7 |
| Income After Taxes | 8.1\% | 8.2\% | ISD | 8.7\% | 6.0\% | 3.0-18.0 |
| Summary Balance Sheet (\% Total Assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets | 44.4\% | 46.5\% | ISD | 31.5\% | 31.5\% | 24.2-31.5 |
| Non-Current Assets | 55.6\% | 53.5\% | ISD | 68.5\% | 68.5\% | 68.5-75.8 |
| Total Assets | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | ISD | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
| Current Liabilities | 19.6\% | 9.9\% | ISD | 23.1\% | 23.1\% | 17.2-23.1 |
| Long-Term Debt Net of Current Maturities | 30.5\% | 23.1\% | ISD | 42.2\% | 42.2\% | 24.2-42.2 |
| Total Liabilities | 50.1\% | 54.9\% | ISD | 65.3\% | 65.3\% | 45.3-66.5 |
| Owner's Equity | 49.9\% | 45.1\% | ISD | 34.7\% | 34.7\% | 33.5-54.7 |
| Total Liabilities and Owner's Equity | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | ISD | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liquidity, Financing and Activity/Profitability Ratios |  | Median | Middle Range |  | Median | Middle Range |
| Current Ratio |  | 2.3 | ISD |  | 1.4 | 1.2-1.7 |
| Debt-Equity Ratio |  | 1.2 | ISD |  | 1.9 | 0.8-1.9 |
| Interest Coverage Ratio |  | ISD | ISD |  | 10.1 | 6.7-27.0 |
| Fixed Assets Turnover |  | 7.1 | ISD |  | 2.6 | 1.9-4.3 |
| Total Assets Turnover (Sales to Assets) |  | 4.7 | ISD |  | 2.0 | 1.5-2.9 |
| Return on Owner's Equity |  | 24.1\% | ISD |  | 85.8\% | 38.3-99.9 |
| Return on Fixed Assets |  | 16.5\% | ISD |  | 33.9\% | 10.1-77.1 |
| Return on Total Assets |  | 8.1\% | ISD |  | 26.4\% | 8.0-40.6 |

[^16]Note: Data reflects a percentage of total revenues or assets unless indicated otherwise. Category definitions conform to IHRSA's Uniform System of Accounts for the Health, Racquet, and
Sportsclub Industry. Each mean and median was independently calculated or identified for each individual set or subset of responses to a given question. As a result, component figures on any item may not necessarily total $100 \%$ and normal mathematical relationships between items may not always exist.

# INCOMESTATEMENT ANDBALANCESHEETDATA 

|  | CLUBS BETWEEN 35,000 AND 59,999 SQ FT |  |  | CLUBS WITH 60,000 SQ FT OR MORE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Middle Range | Mean | Median | Median |
| Total Revenue per Club (thousands) | \$2,425.8 | \$2,700.9 | 1,581.0-2,978.8 | \$8,370.3 | \$8,735.9 | 5,810.0-11,280.4 |
| Income Statement as Percent of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Membership Dues and Fees | 68.9\% | 66.6\% | 56.7-77.5 | 61.7\% | 62.4\% | 57.0-66.4 |
| Non-Dues Revenue | 31.1\% | 33.4\% | 22.5-43.4 | 38.3\% | 37.6\% | 33.7-43.0 |
| Total Revenue | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Payroll (Salaries, Wages, \& Employee Benefits) | 43.2\% | 43.6\% | 35.5-52.1 | 45.7\% | 43.2\% | 41.9-49.6 |
| Rent--Land \& Building | 11.0\% | 9.3\% | 0.6-19.9 | 4.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0-2.7 |
| Rent--Equipment | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-0.1 | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0-0.2 |
| Real Estate/Property Taxes | 1.6\% | 1.5\% | 0.5-2.7 | 2.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.9-3.5 |
| Utilities | 8.0\% | 7.2\% | 5.9-7.9 | 5.2\% | 4.3\% | 3.8-6.5 |
| Insurance | 1.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.4-1.9 | 1.2\% | 1.1\% | 0.7-1.6 |
| Other Occupancy Expenses | 2.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0-5.0 | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 0.0-3.5 |
| Sales \& Marketing | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 2.3-3.7 | 2.4\% | 2.6\% | 1.9-2.8 |
| General \& Administrative | 11.4\% | 12.8\% | 9.2-14.2 | 8.7\% | 7.8\% | 5.5-11.0 |
| Other Club Operating Expenses | 5.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.0-4.1 | 10.5\% | 9.1\% | 6.7-12.6 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 87.0\% | 86.0\% | 82.7-89.4 | 82.9\% | 81.3\% | 73.2-89.8 |
| Income Before Interest, Taxes, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) | 13.0\% | 14.0\% | 10.6-17.3 | 17.1\% | 18.7\% | 10.2-26.8 |
| Depreciation and Amortization | 5.7\% | 5.3\% | 3.6-6.5 | 6.9\% | 6.0\% | 4.7-10.5 |
| Interest | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.5-3.7 | 2.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0-3.5 |
| Profit Before Taxes (PBT) | 4.4\% | 5.6\% | -0.8-9.5 | 7.6\% | 8.9\% | 1.5-14.2 |
| Total Income Taxes | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-0.3 | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0-0.1 |
| Income After Taxes | 4.1\% | 5.5\% | -1.4-8.2 | 6.7\% | 6.7\% | 1.5-10.8 |
| Summary Balance Sheet (\% Total Assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets | 21.8\% | 21.8\% | 13.8-29.7 | 22.9\% | 17.7\% | 9.4-25.7 |
| Non-Current Assets | 78.2\% | 78.2\% | 70.3-86.2 | 77.1\% | 82.3\% | 74.3-90.6 |
| Total Assets | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
| Current Liabilities | 13.1\% | 13.1\% | 6.9-14.2 | 12.7\% | 12.3\% | 8.1-15.0 |
| Long-Term Debt Net of Current Maturities | 58.3\% | 58.3\% | 39.7-73.7 | 41.0\% | 46.2\% | 20.3-61.4 |
| Total Liabilities | 71.4\% | 71.4\% | 54.5-84.4 | 53.7\% | 56.2\% | 35.4-74.6 |
| Owner's Equity | 28.6\% | 28.6\% | 15.6-45.5 | 46.3\% | 43.8\% | 25.4-64.6 |
| Total Liabilities and Owner's Equity | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0-100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liquidity, Financing and Activity/Profitability Ratios |  | Median | Middle Range |  | Median | Middle Range |
| Current Ratio |  | 1.7 | 1.3-1.8 |  | 1.3 | 1.1-1.8 |
| Debt-Equity Ratio |  | 1.9 | ISD |  | 1.3 | 0.6-3.2 |
| Interest Coverage Ratio |  | 3.5 | ISD |  | 5.2 | 3.6-18.8 |
| Fixed Assets Turnover |  | 1.2 | 0.9-5.1 |  | 1.1 | 0.6-1.3 |
| Total Assets Turnover (Sales to Assets) |  | 0.8 | 0.7-4.1 |  | 0.8 | 0.5-1.0 |
| Return on Owner's Equity |  | 9.3\% | ISD |  | 15.9\% | 4.7-24.1 |
| Return on Fixed Assets |  | 3.9\% | -0.3-18.4 |  | 8.2\% | 1.3-15.6 |
| Return on Total Assets |  | 3.6\% | -0.6-13.4 |  | 7.5\% | 1.1-11.1 |

[^17]
## HIT THE "EASY" BUTTON!

More than 11,600 industry professionals have easy access to the information and networking resources they need.

## DOWNLOAD THE IHRSA APP TODAY!

The IHRSA App is available as a free download for all mobile devices from ihrsa.org/app. Alternatively, simply search for "ihrsa" in the iTunes app store or Google Play.


The IHRSA App is Supported by:


## Is Your Business Library Complete?



## Not if you're missing any of these reports!

All are available at ihrsa.org/store or by calling +1 617-951-0055.

International Health, Racquet \& Sportsclub Association
Seaport Center, 70 Fargo Street, Boston, MA 02210 USA
+1-617-951-0055
+1-617-951-0056 (fax)
800-228-4772 (U.S. \& Canada)
ihrsa.org • healthclubs.com
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[^0]:    Source: The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report

[^1]:    Source: IHRSA Estimates

[^2]:    Source: The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report

[^3]:    Source: The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report

[^4]:    Source: The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report

[^5]:    Source: The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report

[^6]:    Source: The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report

[^7]:    Source: The IHRSA Health Club Consumer Report

[^8]:    Source: Info USA, Inc. Figures reflect number of businesses listed on Yellow Pages under SIC code 7991.
    Note: Club count as of July 2015

[^9]:    Source: Info USA, Inc. Figures reflect number of businesses listed on Yellow Pages under SIC code 7991.

[^10]:    Note: Each median was independently calculated for each individual set or subset of responses to a given question or line item. As a result, normal mathematical relationships may not always exist between figures.
    *small sample size for clubs, caution should be taken when comparing numbers.
    Clubs with Less Than $20,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ : 19 firms represent 2,939 facilities.
    Clubs between 20,000 and 34,999 sq ft: 17 firms represent 610 facilities.
    Clubs between 35,000 and $59,999 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}: 8$ firms represent 82 facilities.
    Clubs with 60,000 sq ft or more: 19 firms represent 136 facilities.

[^11]:    Monthly Dues Prices \$40 Per Month or Less: 11 firms represent 2,852 facilities.
    Monthly Dues Prices \$41-69 Per Month: 15 firms represent 207 facilities.

[^12]:    Note: Data based on IHRSA member census of 1,782 clubs in Canada and United States

[^13]:    ISD denotes Insufficient Data

[^14]:    ISD denotes Insufficient Data

[^15]:    SD = Insufficient data to report
    Note: Data reflects a percentage of total revenues or assets unless indicated otherwise. Category definitions conform to IHRSA's Uniform System of Accounts for the Health, Racquet, and
    Sportsclub Industry. Each mean and median was independently calculated or identified for each individual set or subset of responses to a given question. As a result, component figures on any item may not necessarily total $100 \%$ and normal mathematical relationships between items may not always exist.

[^16]:    ISD = Insufficient data to report.

[^17]:    ISD = Insufficient data to report
    Note: Data reflects a percentage of total revenues or assets unless indicated otherwise. Category definitions conform to IHRSA's Uniform System of Accounts for the Health, Racquet, and
     may not necessarily total 100\% and normal mathematical relationships between items may not always exist.

